

UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO
Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação
ISSN 0103-2577

**AVERAGING FOR IMPULSIVE FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL
EQUATIONS: A NEW APPROACH**

**M. FEDERSON
J. B. GODOY**

Nº 331

NOTAS DO ICMC

SÉRIE MATEMÁTICA



**São Carlos – SP
Maio/2010**

SYSNO	1832674
DATA	/ /
ICMC - SBAB	

Resumo

Nós consideramos uma ampla classe de equações diferenciais funcionais com retardamento sujeita a ação de impulsos em tempo variável e apresentamos um princípio da média para tal classe de equações através das técnicas e ferramentas da teoria das equações diferenciais ordinárias generalizadas introduzida por J. Kurzweil.

AVERAGING FOR IMPULSIVE FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS: A NEW APPROACH

M. FEDERSON AND J. B. GODOY

ABSTRACT. We consider a large class of retarded functional differential equations subject to impulse effects at variable times and we present an averaging result for this class of equations by means of the techniques and tools of the theory of generalized ordinary differential equations introduced by J. Kurzweil.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the averaging method or averaging principle is to determine conditions under which the solutions of an autonomous differential system can approximate the solutions of a more complicated time varying system. The averaging method is therefore a powerful tool in studying the perturbation theory of differential equations, since it allows one to replace a time-varying small perturbation, acting on a long time interval, by a time-invariant perturbation and, in this process, only a small error is introduced.

Justifications of the method of averaging for nonlinear systems were first presented in the works by N. N. Bogolyubov and A. Mitropolskii (see [19]) and by N. N. Krylov and N. N. Bogolyubov (see [5]). In these papers, the description of the nonlinear systems was presented in the form we know nowadays

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \varepsilon X(t, x) \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where ε is a small parameter and x and X are n -dimensional vectors.

For system (1.1), the “averaged system” was presented as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = \varepsilon X_0(y) \\ y(0) = x_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where the righthand side of equation (1.2) is obtained by taking the average or mean of the righthand side of system (1.1), that is,

$$X_0(x) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X(t, x) dt.$$

Key words and phrases. Retarded functional differential equations, impulses, averaging, generalized ordinary differential equations, Kurzweil integral.

The first result presented and known as the averaging principle for nonlinear differential systems says that the solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) are close enough to one another, in asymptotically large time, provided system (1.1) admits a solution and the righthand side of (1.1) is Lipschitzian on the second variable.

While the literature about the averaging principle for ordinary differential equations (we write ODEs) is well developed, the theory involving the method of averaging for functional differential equations (we write FDEs) is not so rich. In the 60's, authors as V. I. Fodčuk [9], A. Halanay [10], J. K. Hale [11], G. N. Medvedev [18] and V. M. Volosov [23] developed methods of averaging for certain FDEs, with small parameter, approximating them by autonomous ODEs.

In the 70's, the investigations about the averaging method for FDEs were developed so that the classic approximation by solutions of autonomous ODEs was replaced by an approximation by solutions of an autonomous FDE. In this way, likewise the original system, the averaged system is also of infinite dimension and, consequently, the approximation of solutions is better. And this fact can be verified by computational simulations. See, for instance, the works of V. Strygin in [22] and of B. Lehman and S. P. Weibel in [17], where the authors consider the FDE

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \varepsilon f(t, x_t) \\ x_0 = \phi, \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter and $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta)$, for $\theta \in [-r, 0]$, with $r \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$. The initial function ϕ belongs to the Banach space $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ of continuous functions from $[-r, 0]$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$, equipped with the usual supremum norm, and the function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous and Lipschitzian on the second variable. The averaged system is given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = \varepsilon f_0(y_t) \\ y_0 = \phi, \end{cases}$$

where, for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$, the following limit exists

$$f_0(\varphi) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(s, \varphi) ds.$$

In the late 80's, D. D. Bainov and S. D. Milusheva (see [3]) considered an FDE of neutral type given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \varepsilon X(t, x(t), x(\Delta(t, x(t))), \dot{x}(\Delta(t, x(t))))), & t > 0, t \neq \tau_i(x), \\ x(t) = \phi(t, \varepsilon), & t \in [-r, 0], \\ \dot{x}(t) = \dot{\phi}(t, \varepsilon), & t \in [-r, 0] \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter, $r > 0$, $t - r \leq \Delta(t, x(t)) \leq t$, $t \geq 0$, $\phi(t, \varepsilon)$ is the initial function, the surfaces $\tau_i(x)$ are such that $\tau_i(x) < \tau_{i+1}(x)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, and all $\tau_i(x)$ are in the half-space $t > 0$ for $x \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots$. They also considered the impulses

$$x_i^+ = x_i^- + \varepsilon I_i(x_i^-), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.4)$$

which a solution of (1.3) undergoes when it encounters the surfaces τ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$

The averaged system for (1.3) is given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = \varepsilon X_0(y) + \varepsilon I_0(y) \\ y(0) = x_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where the limits

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_t^{t+T} X(s, x, x, 0) ds = X_0(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t < t_i < t+T} I_i(x) = I_0(x)$$

exist. (Note that (1.5) is an autonomous ODE and not an autonomous FDE.) The authors also assumed that $X(t, x, y, z)$ and $\Delta(t, x)$ are continuous functions, $\phi(t, \varepsilon)$ is continuously differentiable, the impulse operators $I_i(x)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, are continuous and the functions $\tau_i(x)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, are twice continuously differentiable. Then they prove that, under certain conditions, for each $\mu > 0$ and each $L > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, L]$, $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\eta, L)$, such that if $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, then $\|x(t) - y(t)\| < \eta$ for $t \in [0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$, where x is the solution of (1.3)-(1.4) and y is the solution of (1.5).

In the present paper, we consider retarded functional differential equation with impulses at variable times (we write impulsive RFDEs) and we establish an averaging principle where the averaged system is an autonomous RFDE and not an ODE. More precisely, we consider the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = \varepsilon f(t, y_t), & t \neq \tau_k(y(t)), \quad t \geq 0, \\ \Delta y(t) = I_k(y(t)), & t = \tau_k(y(t)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ x_0 = \phi, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter, the initial function ϕ is a left continuous regulated function defined on $[-r, 0]$, with $r > 0$. We assume that for each solution $y : [-r, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of (1.6), the mapping $t \mapsto f(t, y_t)$ is Lebesgue integrable and its indefinite integral satisfies Carathéodory- and Lipschitz-type conditions. Roughly speaking, these conditions on the indefinite integral of f allow the function f to behave “badly”, e.g., f may have many discontinuities, and yet we can obtain good results, provided its indefinite integral is “well-behaved”.

We assume that the impulse operators $I_k(x)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ and that

$$\Delta y(t) = y(t+) - y(t-) = y(t+) - y(t)$$

that is, y is left continuous.

We denote by $m(\tau_k)$ the number of times at which the integral curves of system (1.6) meet the hypersurface τ_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$. By t_k^i we mean the i -th moment of time at which the integral curves of system (1.6) meet the hypersurface τ_k , with $i = 1, \dots, m(\tau_k)$, and $k = 1, 2, \dots$. We assume $m(\tau_k) < \infty$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

The averaged system for problem (1.6) is given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = \varepsilon f_0(y_t) + \varepsilon I_0(y) \\ y_0 = \phi, \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

where we assume that the following limits exist

$$f_0(\varphi) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(s, \varphi) ds \quad \text{and} \quad I_0(x) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq t_k^i < T, \\ i=1, \dots, m(\tau_k)}} I_k(x).$$

Our averaging principle for the impulsive RFDE (1.6) says that, under the above conditions, given $\mu > 0$ and $L > 0$, $\|x(t) - y(t)\| < \mu$, for $t \in [0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$, where x is a solution of (1.6) and y is a solution of (1.7).

In order to obtain this result, we adapted the theory of generalized ODEs, developed by Š. Schwabik for functions with values in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (see [21]), to the case where the functions take values in a general Banach space X . Because impulsive RFDEs can be regarded as generalized ODEs whose solutions are functions of locally bounded variation (see [8]), it is natural to consider X as the space of regulated functions (which includes functions of locally bounded variation). We also use an averaging result for non-impulsive RFDEs borrowed from [7] to get the main theorem.

This paper is organized as follows. In the second section, we describe the framework of impulsive RFDEs we are going to deal with. In the third section, we prove results on continuous dependence of solutions of this class of impulsive RFDEs on the initial data. The fourth section is dedicated to basic facts of the theory of generalized ODEs. In Section 5, the correspondence between impulsive RFDEs and generalized ODEs is presented. Continuous dependence of solutions of generalized ODEs on the initial value is investigated in the sixth section. The final section is devoted to averaging results: we generalized an averaging result for generalized ODEs by Š. Schwabik (see [21] and [20]) and then we establish an averaging result for impulsive RFDEs via generalized ODEs.

2. THE FRAME OF IMPULSIVE RFDES

Let X be a Banach space. A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is called *regulated*, if the following limits exist

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t-} f(s) = f(t-) \in X, \quad t \in (a, b], \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow t+} f(s) = f(t+) \in X, \quad t \in [a, b).$$

In this case, we write $f \in G([a, b], X)$ and we endow $G([a, b], X)$ with the usual supremum norm $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \|f(t)\|$. Then $(G([a, b], X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a Banach space. Also, any function in $G([a, b], X)$ is the uniform limit of step functions (see [13]).

Define

$$G^-([a, b], X) = \{u \in G([a, b], X) : u \text{ is left continuous at every } t \in (a, b]\}.$$

In $G^-([a, b], X)$, we consider the norm induced by $G([a, b], X)$.

Given a function $y : [t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, with $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $r > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$, we consider $y_t : [-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$y_t(\theta) = y(t + \theta), \quad \theta \in [-r, 0], \quad t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma].$$

Then it is clear that for a function $y \in G^-([t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma], \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $y_t \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$.

Consider the retarded functional differential equation with impulse action:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = f(y_t, t), & t \neq \tau_k(y(t)), \quad t \geq t_0, \\ \Delta y(t) = I_k(y(t)), & t = \tau_k(y(t)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ y_{t_0} = \phi, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\phi \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$, $y \mapsto I_k(y)$ maps $\mathbb{R}^n$ into itself, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, τ_k maps $\mathbb{R}^n$ into $[t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma]$, and $\Delta y(t) := y(t+) - y(t-) = y(t+) - y(t)$ for any $t \geq t_0$.

Let $\tau_0(x) \equiv t_0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, consider the set

$$S_k = \{(t, x) \in [t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma] \times \mathbb{R}^n : t = \tau_k(x)\}.$$

By $m(S_k)$ we denote the number of times at which the integral curves of system (2.1) meet the hypersurface S_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$. By t_k^i we mean the i^{th} moment of time at which the integral curves of system (2.1) meet the hypersurface S_k , with $i = 1, \dots, m(S_k)$, and $k = 1, 2, \dots$. We also assume

- (C1) $\tau_k \in C(\mathbb{R}^n, [t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma])$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$;
- (C2) $t_0 < \tau_1(x) < \tau_2(x) < \dots$, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (C3) $\tau_k(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ uniformly on $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (C4) The integral curves of system (2.1) meet successively each one of the hypersurfaces, $S_1, S_2, \dots$, only a finite number of times (i.e., $m(S_k) < \infty$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$);
- (C5) $t_k^i < t_k^{i+1}$, for $i = 1, \dots, m(\tau_k)$, and $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

System (2.1) is known to be equivalent to the “integral” equation

$$\begin{cases} y(t) = y(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(y_s, s) ds + \sum_{\substack{0 < t_k^i < t, \\ i=1, \dots, m(\tau_k)}} I_k(y(t_k^i)) \\ y_{t_0} = \phi, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

when the integral exists in some sense. We will consider Lebesgue integration in (2.2).

Let $PC_1 \subset G^-([t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma], \mathbb{R}^n)$ be an open set, in the topology of uniform convergence in $G^-([t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma], \mathbb{R}^n)$, with the following property: if y is an element of PC_1 and $\bar{t} \in [t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma]$, then $\bar{y}$ given by

$$\bar{y}(t) = \begin{cases} y(t), & t_0 - r \leq t \leq \bar{t}, \\ y(\bar{t}), & \bar{t} < t \leq \infty, \end{cases}$$

is also an element of PC_1 . In particular, any open ball in $G^-([t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma], \mathbb{R}^n)$ has this property.

We assume that $f : G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and, for every $y \in PC_1$, the mapping $t \mapsto f(y_t, t)$, $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$, is Lebesgue integrable, and moreover:

- (A) There is a Lebesgue integrable function $M : [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in PC_1$ and all $u_1, u_2 \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$,

$$\left| \int_{u_1}^{u_2} f(x_s, s) ds \right| \leq \int_{u_1}^{u_2} M(s) ds;$$

- (B) There is a Lebesgue integrable function $L : [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x, y \in PC_1$ and all $u_1, u_2 \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$,

$$\left| \int_{u_1}^{u_2} [f(x_s, s) - f(y_s, s)] ds \right| \leq \int_{u_1}^{u_2} L(s) \|x_s - y_s\| ds.$$

For the impulse operators $I_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we assume:

- (A') There is a constant $K_1 > 0$ such that for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|I_k(x)| \leq K_1;$$

- (B') There is a constant $K_2 > 0$ such that for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|I_k(x) - I_k(y)| \leq K_2 |x - y|.$$

Remark 2.1. Note that conditions (A) and (B) are Carathéodory- and Lipschitz-type conditions on the indefinite integral of f and not on “ f ” itself. Thus the standard assumption that $f(\psi, t)$ is continuous in ψ does not need to be fulfilled. Also, the mapping $t \mapsto f(y_t, t)$ does not need to be piecewise continuous, as usually required.

3. EXISTENCE AND CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE OF SOLUTIONS

In this section, we mention some results borrowed from [6] and [8] about existence and continuous dependence of solutions on the initial data.

Theorem 3.1 ([6], Theorem 2.1). *Consider problem (2.1) and suppose conditions (A), (B), (A') and (B') are fulfilled. Then there is a $\Delta > 0$ such that on the interval $[t_0, t_0 + \Delta]$ there exists a unique solution $y : [t_0 - r, t_0 + \Delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of problem (2.1) for which $y_{t_0} = \phi$.*

For the next theorem, we consider the following sequence of initial value problems

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = f_p(y_t, t), & t \neq \tau_k(y(t)), \quad t \geq t_0 \\ \Delta y(t) = I_k^p(y(t)), & t = \tau_k(y(t)) \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\ y_{t_0} = \phi_p, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Delta y(t) := y(t+) - y(t-) = y(t+) - y(t)$ and for each $p = 1, 2, \dots$ and each $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$, $x \mapsto I_k^p(x)$ maps $\mathbb{R}^n$ into itself. We also consider that conditions (C1) to (C5) are fulfilled.

The next result says that the sequence $\{y_p\}_{p \geq 1}$ of solutions of (3.1) is equibounded and uniformly of bounded variation on some closed subinterval of $[t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$, provided conditions (A), (B), (A') and (B') are fulfilled.

Theorem 3.2 ([6], Theorem 2.2). *Assume that for $p = 0, 1, \dots$, $\phi_p \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and moreover $f_p : G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $I_k^p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy conditions (A), (B), (A') and (B') for the same functions M, L and the same constants K_1, K_2 . Then there is a $\Delta > 0$ such that $y_p : [t_0 - r, t_0 + \Delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a solution of (3.1), for each p , and the sequence $\{y_p\}_{p \geq 1}$ is equibounded and uniformly of bounded variation on $[t_0, t_0 + \Delta]$.*

The next theorem concerns continuous dependence of solutions of problem (3.1) on the initial data.

Theorem 3.3 ([8], Theorem 4.1). *Assume that for $p = 0, 1, \dots$, $\phi_p \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and moreover $f_p : G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $I_k^p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$, satisfy conditions (A), (B), (A') and (B') for the same functions M, L and the same constants K_1, K_2 . Let the relations*

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\vartheta \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]} \left| \int_{t_0}^{\vartheta} [f_p(y_s, s) - f_0(y_s, s)] ds \right| = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

for every $y \in PC_1$ and

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} I_k^p(x) = I_k^0(x) \quad (3.3)$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ be satisfied. Assume that $y_p : [t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $p = 1, 2, \dots$, is a solution on $[t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma]$ of problem (3.1) such that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} y_p(s) = y(s) \quad \text{uniformly on } [t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma].$$

Then $y : [t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a solution on $[t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma]$ of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = f_0(y_t, t), & t \neq \tau_k(y(t)), \quad t \geq t_0 \\ \Delta y(t) = I_k^0(y(t)), & t = \tau_k(y(t)) \quad k = 1, \dots, m \\ y_{t_0} = \phi_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

The next result says that, for sufficient large $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $y_p : [t_0 - r, t_0 + \Delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a solution of (3.1), provided the sequence of initial data $\{\phi_p\}_{p \geq 1}$ converges uniformly on $[-r, 0]$.

Theorem 3.4 ([6], Theorem 3.2). *Assume that $f_p(\phi, t) : G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, satisfies conditions (A) and (B) for the same functions M and L . Let $I_k^p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$, $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, be impulse operators which satisfy conditions (A') and (B') for the same constants K_1 and K_2 . Assume that (3.2) holds for every $y \in PC_1$, and (3.3) is satisfied whenever $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$. Let $y : [t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a solution of problem (3.4) on $[t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma]$. Assume that if there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $\sup_{\theta \in [-r, 0]} |u(\theta) - \phi_0(\theta)| < \rho$, then $u \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume further that $\phi_p \rightarrow \phi_0$*

uniformly on $[-r, 0]$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Then, for sufficiently large $p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a solution y_p of problem (3.1) on $[t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma]$ and

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} y_p(s) = y(s), \quad s \in [t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma]$$

4. GENERALIZED ODEs

In this section, we present the basic notation and terminology of the theory of generalized ODEs and we list the fundamental results we need here.

A *tagged division* of a compact interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a finite collection of point-interval pairs $(\tau_i, [s_{i-1}, s_i])$, where $a = s_0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_k = b$ is a division of $[a, b]$ and $\tau_i \in [s_{i-1}, s_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

A *gauge* on a set $E \subset [a, b]$ is any function $\delta : E \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$. Given a gauge δ on $[a, b]$, a tagged division $d = (\tau_i, [s_{i-1}, s_i])$ is δ -fine if, for every i ,

$$[s_{i-1}, s_i] \subset \{t \in [a, b]; |t - \tau_i| < \delta(\tau_i)\}.$$

Let X be a Banach space. In the sequel, we use integration specified by the following definition due to J. Kurzweil [14].

Definition 4.1. A function $U(\tau, t) : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is Kurzweil integrable over the interval $[a, b]$, if there is a unique element $I \in X$ ($I = \int_a^b DU(\tau, t)$) such that given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a gauge δ of $[a, b]$ such that for every δ -fine tagged division $d = (\tau_i, [s_{i-1}, s_i])$ of $[a, b]$, we have

$$\|S(U, d) - I\| < \varepsilon,$$

where $S(U, d) = \sum_i [U(\tau_i, s_i) - U(\tau_i, s_{i-1})]$.

The Kurzweil integral has the usual properties of linearity, additivity with respect to adjacent intervals, integrability on subintervals, etc.

Let an open set $\Omega \subset X \times \mathbb{R}$ be given. Assume that $G : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is a given X -valued function $G(x, t)$ defined for $(x, t) \in \Omega$. In the following two definitions, the integrals have to be understood in the sense of Definition 4.1.

Definition 4.2. A function $x : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow X$ is called a solution of the generalized ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DG(x, t) \tag{4.1}$$

on the interval $[\alpha, \beta] \subset \mathbb{R}$, if $(x(t), t) \in \Omega$ for all $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and if the equality

$$x(v) - x(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma}^v DG(x(\tau), t)$$

holds for every $\gamma, v \in [\alpha, \beta]$.

Given an initial condition $(z_0, t_0) \in \Omega$, we define the solution of the initial value problem for equation (4.1).

Definition 4.3. A function $x : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow X$ is a solution of the generalized ordinary differential equation (4.1) with initial condition $x(t_0) = \tilde{x}$ on the interval $[\alpha, \beta] \subset \mathbb{R}$, if $t_0 \in [\alpha, \beta]$, $(x(t), t) \in \Omega$ for all $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and if the equality

$$x(v) - \tilde{x} = \int_{t_0}^v DG(x(\tau), t)$$

holds for every $v \in [\alpha, \beta]$.

Let $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval with $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ and let $\Omega = O \times [a, b]$, where $O \subset X$ is an open set (e.g. $O = B_c = \{x \in X; \|x\| < c\}$ for some $c > 0$). We introduce a class of functions $G : \Omega \rightarrow X$ for which it is possible to get more specific information about the solutions of (4.1).

Definition 4.4. Assume that $h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nondecreasing function defined on $[a, b]$. A function $G : \Omega \rightarrow X$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, h)$, whenever

$$\|G(x, s_2) - G(x, s_1)\| \leq |h(s_2) - h(s_1)| \quad (4.2)$$

for all $(x, s_2), (x, s_1) \in \Omega$ and

$$\|G(x, s_2) - G(x, s_1) - G(y, s_2) + G(y, s_1)\| \leq \|x - y\| |h(s_2) - h(s_1)|$$

for all $(x, s_2), (x, s_1), (y, s_2), (y, s_1) \in \Omega$.

Assume that $G : \Omega \rightarrow X$ satisfies condition (4.2), $[\alpha, \beta] \subset [a, b]$ and $x : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow X$ is a solution of (4.1). Then the inequality

$$\|x(s_1) - x(s_2)\| \leq |h(s_2) - h(s_1)|$$

holds for every $s_1, s_2 \in [\alpha, \beta]$. This implies that every point in $[\alpha, \beta]$ at which the function h is continuous is a continuity point of the solution $x : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow X$ of (4.1). Moreover, x is of bounded variation on $[\alpha, \beta]$ and

$$\text{var}_\alpha^\beta(x) \leq h(\beta) - h(\alpha) < +\infty.$$

(See [21], Lemma 3.10). Also

$$x(\sigma+) - x(\sigma) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \sigma+} x(s) - x(\sigma) = G(x(\sigma), \sigma+) - G(x(\sigma), \sigma), \quad \sigma \in [\alpha, \beta),$$

and

$$x(\sigma) - x(\sigma-) = x(\sigma) - \lim_{s \rightarrow \sigma-} x(s) = G(x(\sigma), \sigma) - G(x(\sigma), \sigma-), \quad \sigma \in (\alpha, \beta],$$

where $G(x, \sigma+) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \sigma+} G(x, s)$, $\sigma \in [\alpha, \beta)$, and $G(x, \sigma-) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \sigma-} G(x, s)$, $\sigma \in (\alpha, \beta]$. (See [21], Lemma 3.12).

In the sequel, we mention some results whose proofs can be carried out by straightforward adaptation of the corresponding results from [21] to the cases where the functions taking values in a general Banach space X .

The first theorem we mention concerns the existence and uniqueness of a solution of (4.1).

Theorem 4.1 ([8], Theorem 2.15). *Let $G : \Omega \rightarrow X$ belong to the class $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, h)$, where the function h is continuous from the left ($h(t-) = h(t)$ for $t \in (a, b]$). Then for every $(\tilde{x}, t_0) \in \Omega$ such that for $\tilde{x}_+ = \tilde{x} + G(\tilde{x}, t_0+) - G(\tilde{x}, t_0)$, we have $(\tilde{x}_+, t_0) \in \Omega$ and there exists a $\Delta > 0$ such that on the interval $[t_0, t_0 + \Delta]$ there exists a unique solution $x : [t_0, t_0 + \Delta] \rightarrow X$ of the generalized ordinary differential equation (4.1) for which $x(t_0) = \tilde{x}$.*

The next theorem is a continuous dependence result for generalized ODEs.

Theorem 4.2 ([21], Theorem 8.8). *Assume that for $k = 1, \dots$, $G_k : \Omega \rightarrow X$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, h_k)$, where the functions $h_k : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, are nondecreasing and left continuous and the function $h_0 : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nondecreasing and continuous on $[a, b]$. Assume further that*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [h_k(t_2) - h_k(t_1)] \leq h_0(t_2) - h_0(t_1)$$

for every $a \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq b$. Suppose

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G_k(x, t) = G_0(x, t)$$

for $(x, t) \in \Omega$. Let $x : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow X$, $[\alpha, \beta] \subset [a, b]$, be a solution of the generalized differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DG_0(x, t) \quad (4.3)$$

on $[\alpha, \beta]$ which has the following uniqueness property: If $y : [\alpha, \gamma] \rightarrow X$, $[\alpha, \gamma] \subset [\alpha, \beta]$ is a solution of (4.3) such that $y(\alpha) = x(\alpha)$, then $y(t) = x(t)$ for every $t \in [\alpha, \gamma]$. Assume further that there is a $\rho > 0$ such that if $s \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and $\|y - x(s)\| < \rho$, then $(y, s) \in \Omega$ and let $y_k \in X$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, satisfy

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = x(\alpha).$$

Then for every $\mu > 0$, there exists a $k_* \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > k_*$ there exists a solution x_k of the generalized differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DG_k(x, t)$$

on $[\alpha, \beta]$ with $x_k(\alpha) = y_k$ and

$$\|x_k(s) - x(s)\| < \mu, \quad s \in [\alpha, \beta].$$

Finally, we mention a substitution theorem for Kurzweil integrals.

Theorem 4.3 ([21], Theorem 2.18). *Suppose $-\infty < c < d < +\infty$ and let $\varphi : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function which is strictly monotone on $[c, d]$. Let $U : [\varphi(c), \varphi(d)] \times [\varphi(c), \varphi(d)] \rightarrow X$ be a given function. If one of the integrals*

$$\int_{\varphi(c)}^{\varphi(d)} DU(\tau, t), \quad \int_c^d DU(\varphi(\sigma), \varphi(s))$$

exists, then the other integral also exists and we have

$$\int_{\varphi(c)}^{\varphi(d)} DU(\tau, t) = \int_c^d DU(\varphi(\sigma), \varphi(s)).$$

5. IMPULSIVE RFDES REGARDED AS GENERALIZED ODES

Let t_0 and r be positive real numbers. Consider the framework of impulsive RFDEs as in Section 2, but instead of (C1), consider

(C1*) $\tau_k \in C(\mathbb{R}^n, [t_0, \infty))$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$

We also assume that (C2) – (C5) are satisfied.

Consider functions $y : [t_0 - r, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ which are left continuous, admit the right limits $y(t+)$ at every point and are such that $y(t+) \neq y(t)$ only for $t = t_l$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and $y|_{[t_0-r, t_0]} \in G^-([t_0 - r, t_0], \mathbb{R}^n)$. It is clear that, for a function y having these properties, $y_t \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $t \in [t_0, \infty)$. Furthermore, for $f : G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, the mapping $t \mapsto f(y_t, t)$ is well defined for $t \in [t_0, \infty)$.

Let $PC_1 \subset G^-([t_0 - r, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$ be an open set (in the topology of locally uniform convergence in $G^-([t_0 - r, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$) with the following property: if y is an element of PC_1 and $\bar{t} \in [t_0, \infty)$, then $\bar{y}$ given by

$$\bar{y}(t) = \begin{cases} y(t), & t_0 - r \leq t \leq \bar{t} \\ y(\bar{t}+), & \bar{t} < t \leq \infty \end{cases}$$

is also an element of PC_1 .

We assume that for $y \in PC_1$, the mapping $t \mapsto f(y_t, t)$, $t \in [t_0, \infty)$, is locally Lebesgue integrable and, moreover,

(A*) There is a locally Lebesgue integrable function $M(t) : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in PC_1$ and all $u_1, u_2 \in [t_0, +\infty)$,

$$\left| \int_{u_1}^{u_2} f(x_s, s) ds \right| \leq \int_{u_1}^{u_2} M(s) ds;$$

(B*) There is a locally Lebesgue integrable function $L : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x, y \in PC_1$ and all $u_1, u_2 \in [t_0, +\infty)$,

$$\left| \int_{u_1}^{u_2} [f(x_s, s) - f(y_s, s)] ds \right| \leq \int_{u_1}^{u_2} L(s) \|x_s - y_s\| ds.$$

For the impulse operators $I_l : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $l = 1, 2, \dots$, we assume the following conditions

(A'*) There is a constant $K_1 > 0$ such that for all $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|I_l(x)| \leq K_1;$$

(B'*) There is a constant $K_2 > 0$ such that for all $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|I_l(x) - I_l(y)| \leq K_2 |x - y|.$$

Definition 5.1. Consider system (2.1), where $f(\varphi, t) : G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, and $t \mapsto f(y_t, t)$ is locally Lebesgue integrable for every $y \in PC_1$, where $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$. If there is a function $y \in PC_1$ satisfying

- (i) $\dot{y}(t) = f(y_t, t)$, for almost every $t \in [t_0, +\infty) \setminus \{t : t = \tau_k(y(t)), k = 1, 2, \dots\}$;
- (ii) $y(t+) = y(t) + I_k(y(t))$, $t = \tau_k(y(t))$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$;
- (iii) $y_{t_0} = \phi$,

then y is called a solution of (2.1) in $[t_0, +\infty)$.

Given $y \in PC_1$ and $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$, we define

$$F(y, t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 0, & t_0 - r \leq \vartheta \leq t_0, \\ \int_{t_0}^{\vartheta} f(y_s, s) ds, & t_0 \leq \vartheta \leq t < +\infty, \\ \int_{t_0}^t f(y_s, s) ds, & t_0 \leq t \leq \vartheta < +\infty, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$J(y, t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 0, & t_0 - r \leq \vartheta \leq t_0, \\ \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m(\tau_k)} H_k^i(t) H_k^i(\vartheta) I_k(y(t_k^i)), & \vartheta \in [t_0, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

for $\vartheta \in [t_0 - r, +\infty)$, where H_k^i is the left continuous Heavyside function concentrated at t_k^i , that is

$$H_k^i(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } t_0 \leq t \leq t_k^i \\ 1, & \text{for } t > t_k^i. \end{cases}$$

Taking $F(y, t)$ and $J(y, t)$ given by (5.1) and (5.2), we define

$$G(y, t) = F(y, t) + J(y, t) \quad (5.3)$$

for $y \in PC_1$ and $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$. Then clearly the values of the function $G(y, t)$ belong to $G^-([t_0 - r, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$, that is,

$$G : PC_1 \times [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow G^-([t_0 - r, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover, for $s_1, s_2 \in [t_0 - r, +\infty)$ and $x, y \in PC_1$ we have

$$\|G(x, s_2) - G(x, s_1)\| \leq |h(s_2) - h(s_1)| \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$\|G(x, s_2) - G(x, s_1) - G(y, s_2) + G(y, s_1)\| \leq \|x - y\| |h(s_2) - h(s_1)|, \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$h(t) = \int_{t_0}^t [M(s) + L(s)] ds + \max\{K_1, K_2\} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m(\tau_k)} H_k^i(t), \quad t \in [t_0, +\infty).$$

is a nondecreasing real function which is continuous from the left at every point, continuous for all $t \neq t_k^i$ and $h(t_k^i+)$ exists for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus, the function G defined by (5.3) belongs to the class $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, h)$, where $\Omega = PC_1 \times [t_0, +\infty)$. For details, see [8].

Now, consider the generalized ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DG(x, t). \quad (5.6)$$

where G is given by (5.3).

The next result gives a one-to-one relation between the solution of the impulsive RFDE (2.1) and the generalized ODE (5.6).

Theorem 5.1 (Correspondence of equations - [8], Theorems 3.4 and 3.5).

- (i) Consider system (2.1), where $f : H_1 \times [t_0 - r, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, for each $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$, $t \mapsto f(y_t, t)$ is locally Lebesgue integrable over $[t_0 - r, +\infty)$ and (A) , (B) , (A') , (B') are fulfilled. Assume $(C1^*)$ and $(C2)$ to $(C5)$ hold. Let $y(t)$ be the solution of problem (2.1) in the interval $[t_0 - r, +\infty)$. Given $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$, let

$$x(t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} y(\vartheta), & \vartheta \in [t_0 - r, t] \\ y(t), & \vartheta \in [t, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

Then $x(t) \in G^-([t_0 - r, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$ and x is a solution of (5.6) in $[t_0, +\infty)$, with G given by (5.3).

- (ii) Reciprocally, let $x(t)$ be a solution of (5.6), with G given by (5.3), in the interval $[t_0, +\infty)$ satisfying the initial condition

$$x(t_0)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} \phi(\vartheta - t_0), & t_0 - r \leq \vartheta \leq t_0, \\ x(t_0)(t_0), & t_0 \leq \vartheta \leq +\infty. \end{cases}$$

For every $\vartheta \in [t_0 - r, +\infty)$, define

$$y(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} x(t_0)(\vartheta), & t_0 - r \leq \vartheta \leq t_0 \\ x(\vartheta)(\vartheta), & t_0 \leq \vartheta \leq +\infty. \end{cases} \quad (5.7)$$

Then y is a solution of (2.1) in $[t_0 - r, +\infty)$.

6. CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF GENERALIZED ODES

In this section, we prove a result about continuous dependence on the initial data of solutions of a class of generalized ODEs.

Consider the generalized ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DG(x, t),$$

where G is given by (5.3). Assume that $\phi \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ is given and define a function $\tilde{x} \in G^-([t_0 - r, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\tilde{x}(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} \phi(\vartheta - t_0), & \text{if } \vartheta \in [t_0 - r, t_0], \\ \phi(0), & \text{if } \vartheta \in [t_0, \infty) \end{cases}$$

For each $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, let f_k satisfy (A^*) and (B^*) and I_j^k satisfy (A'^*) and (B'^*) , for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. For each $(y, t) \in PC_1 \times [t_0, \infty)$, define

$$F_k(y, t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t_0 - r \leq \vartheta \leq t_0, \\ \int_{t_0}^{\vartheta} f_k(y_s, s) ds, & \text{if } t_0 \leq \vartheta \leq t < \infty \\ \int_{t_0}^t f_k(y_s, s) ds, & \text{if } t_0 \leq t \leq \vartheta < \infty, \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

and

$$J_k(y, t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 0, & t_0 - r \leq \vartheta \leq t_0, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m(\tau_j)} H_j^i(t) H_k^i(\vartheta) I_j^k(y(t_j^i)), & \vartheta \in [t_0, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

Then $F_k \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, h_1)$ and $J_k \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, h_2)$, for every $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where

$$h_1 = \int_{t_0}^t [M(s) + L(s)] ds \quad \text{and} \quad h_2 = \max\{K_1, K_2\} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m(\tau_k)} H_k^i(t).$$

If for each $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and each $(y, t) \in PC_1 \times [t_0, \infty)$, we define

$$G_k(y, t) = F_k(y, t) + J_k(y, t). \quad (6.3)$$

Then $G_k \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, h)$, where $h = h_2 + h_1$.

In [21], Theorem 8.6, Š. Schwabik presented a result on continuous dependence of solutions on the initial data for a general class of generalized ODEs taking values in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Here, we consider a class of generalized ODEs taking values in the Frechét space, $G^-([t_0 - r, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$, of regulated functions from $[t_0 - r, \infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ which are left continuous and we prove a similar result. The correspondence of equations (2.1) and (4.1) (see Theorem 5.1) is essential in our proof.

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose for each $k = 0, 1, \dots$, $G_k : PC_1 \times [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow G^-([t_0 - r, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$ is given as in (6.3) and the following limits exist*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_k(y, t) = F_0(y, t) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J_k(y, t) = J_0(y, t), \quad (6.4)$$

for $(y, t) \in PC_1 \times [t_0, \infty)$. Let $x : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow PC_1$ be the unique solution of the generalized differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DG_0(x, t) = D[F_0(x, t) + J_0(x, t)] \quad (6.5)$$

with initial condition $x(t_0) \in PC_1$ given by

$$x(t_0)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} \phi(\vartheta - t_0), & t_0 - r \leq \vartheta \leq t_0, \\ y_{t_0}(t_0), & t_0 \leq \vartheta < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (6.6)$$

Assume further that there is a sequence $\{\phi_k\}_{k \geq 1} \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi_k(\vartheta - t_0) = x(t_0)(\vartheta), \quad \text{uniformly on } [t_0 - r, t_0].$$

Then there exists a positive integer m such that, for all $k > m$, there exists a solution $x_k : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow PC_1$ of the generalized differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DG_k(x, s) \quad (6.7)$$

on $[t_0, \infty)$, such that

$$x_k(t_0)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} \phi_k(\vartheta - t_0), & t_0 - r \leq \vartheta \leq t_0, \\ y_k(t_0), & t_0 \leq \vartheta < \infty \end{cases}$$

and $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_k(s) = x(s)$, $s \in [t_0, \infty)$.

Proof. By (6.4), it is clear that for $\vartheta \in [t_0 - r, \infty)$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_k(y, t)(\vartheta) = F_0(y, t)(\vartheta) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J_k(y, t)(\vartheta) = J_0(y, t)(\vartheta).$$

Therefore, by (6.1) and (6.2), we also have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^{\vartheta} f_k(y_s, s) ds = \int_{t_0}^{\vartheta} f_0(y_s, s) ds, \quad \vartheta \in [t_0, \infty)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_j^k(y(t_j^i)) = I_j^0(y(t_j^i)), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m(\tau_k).$$

Let $x : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow PC_1$ be the unique solution of the generalized differential equation (6.5), with initial condition (6.6) that is, $x(t_0) = \tilde{x}$, where $\tilde{x}$ is given by (6.6). Define $y : [t_0 - r, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ as in (5.7). Then, by Proposition 5.1, y is a solution of (2.1) on $[t_0 - r, \infty)$.

Note that, since $\phi_k(\vartheta - t_0) \rightarrow x(t_0)(\vartheta) = y(\vartheta)$ uniformly on $[t_0 - r, t_0]$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $\phi_k \rightarrow y_{t_0}$ uniformly on $[-r, 0]$. Thus Theorem 3.4 implies that, for sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$, say for $k > k_1$, there exists a solution y_k of equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = f_k(y_t, t), & t \neq \tau_i(y(t)) \\ \Delta y(t) = I_i^k(y(t)), & t = \tau_i(y(t)) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \\ y_{t_0} = \phi_k, \end{cases}$$

on $[t_0 - r, \infty)$ and $y_k(s) \rightarrow y(s)$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, for each $s \in [t_0 - r, \infty)$ (in particular, $y_k(\theta) \rightarrow y(\theta)$, uniformly on $[-r, 0]$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$ by hypothesis).

Thus if for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we define

$$x_k(t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} y_k(\vartheta), & t_0 - r \leq \vartheta \leq t, \\ y_k(t), & t \leq \vartheta < \infty, \end{cases}$$

where $t \in [t_0, \infty)$, then Proposition 5.1 implies that for $k > k_1$, $x_k(t) \in PC_1$ is a solution of (6.7), with initial condition

$$x_k(t_0)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} \phi_k(\vartheta - t_0), & t_0 - r \leq \vartheta \leq t_0, \\ \phi_k(0), & t_0 \leq \vartheta < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Also, for every fixed $t \in [t_0, \infty)$, we have by definition

$$\|x_k(t) - x_0(t)\| = \sup_{\vartheta \in [t_0-r, \infty)} |x_k(t)(\vartheta) - x_0(t)(\vartheta)| = \sup_{\vartheta \in [t_0-r, t]} |y_k(\vartheta) - y(\vartheta)|$$

Then, since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k(s) = y(s)$, $s \in [t_0 - r, t]$, it follows that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_2 = k_2(t) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k > k_2$,

$$\sup_{\vartheta \in [t_0-r, t]} |y_k(\vartheta) - y(\vartheta)| < \varepsilon$$

Hence,

$$\|x_k(t) - x_0(t)\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad k > k_2.$$

This implies that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k(t) = x_0(t)$. Then, taking $m > \max\{k_1, k_2\}$, we get the result. $\square$

7. AVERAGING METHOD FOR GODES

In the sequel, we consider $t_0 = 0$. Thus $PC_1 \subset G^-([-r, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\Omega = PC_1 \times [0, \infty)$ and $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Let us consider the following generalized differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DG(x, t), \quad (7.1)$$

where $G \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, h)$ is given by (5.3).

Note that if a function $H_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, h)$ is such that $(x, t) \mapsto H_0(x, t) = G_0(x)t$, for $(x, t) \in \Omega = PC_1 \times [0, \infty)$, then the generalized differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DH_0(x, t) = D[G_0(x)t]$$

can be rewritten in the form

$$\dot{x} = G_0(x),$$

which is an abstract autonomous ODE. Indeed, since for every sufficiently fine tagged division, $(\tau_i, [s_{i-1}, s_i])$, of a subinterval $[\alpha, \beta]$ of $[0, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} DH_0(x(\tau), t) &\approx \sum_i [H_0(x(\tau_i), s_i) - H_0(x(\tau_i), s_{i-1})] = \\ &= \sum_i G_0(x(\tau_i))(s_i - s_{i-1}) \approx \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} G_0(x(t))dt. \end{aligned}$$

by the properties of the Kurzweil integral (Definition 4.1)

The next theorem is an averaging principle for generalized ODEs. See also [21], Theorem 8.12, where $X = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\Omega = PC_1 \times [0, \infty)$ and suppose $G : \Omega \rightarrow G^-([-r, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by (5.3). Consider equation (7.1) and suppose*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon} + \alpha\right) - h(\alpha)}{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}} \leq C, \quad \text{where } C = \text{constant}, \quad (7.2)$$

for every $\alpha \geq 0$, and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G\left(x, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right)}{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}} = G_0(x)(\vartheta), \quad (7.3)$$

for every $x \in PC_1$. Let $y : [-r, \infty) \rightarrow PC_1$ be the unique solution of the autonomous ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{y} = G_0(y), \quad (7.4)$$

and assume there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $\{x \in PC_1; \|z - y(t)\| < \rho\} \subset PC_1$, for every $t \in [0, \infty)$. Then, for every $\mu > 0$ and every $L > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, the inequality

$$\|x_\varepsilon(t)(\vartheta) - \xi_\varepsilon(t)(\vartheta)\| < \mu$$

holds for $t \in [0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$, where x_ε is a solution of the generalized ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = D[\varepsilon G(x, t)] \quad (7.5)$$

on $[0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$ such that $x_\varepsilon(0) = y(0)$, and ξ_ε is a solution of the autonomous ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{x} = \varepsilon G_0(x) \quad (7.6)$$

on $[0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$ satisfying $\xi_\varepsilon(0) = y(0)$.

Proof. For $y \in PC_1$, $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we define

$$H_\varepsilon(y, t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \vartheta \in [-r, 0], \\ \varepsilon G\left(y, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right), & \text{if } \vartheta \in [0, \infty), \end{cases}$$

and

$$h_\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon h\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

The function h_ε is evidently non-decreasing and continuous from the left on $[0, \infty)$. Since $G \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, h)$, the definition of $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, h)$ implies the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} |H_\varepsilon(y, t_2)(\vartheta) - H_\varepsilon(y, t_1)(\vartheta)| &= \left| \varepsilon G\left(y, \frac{t_2}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) - \varepsilon G\left(y, \frac{t_1}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left| h\left(\frac{t_2}{\varepsilon}\right) - h\left(\frac{t_1}{\varepsilon}\right) \right| = |h_\varepsilon(t_2) - h_\varepsilon(t_1)| \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & |H_\varepsilon(x, t_2)(\vartheta) - H_\varepsilon(x, t_1)(\vartheta) - H_\varepsilon(y, t_2)(\vartheta) + H_\varepsilon(y, t_1)(\vartheta)| = \\ & = \left| \varepsilon G\left(x, \frac{t_2}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) - \varepsilon G\left(x, \frac{t_1}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) - \varepsilon G\left(y, \frac{t_2}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon G\left(y, \frac{t_1}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) \right| \leq \\ & \leq \|x - y\| \varepsilon \left| h\left(\frac{t_2}{\varepsilon}\right) - h\left(\frac{t_1}{\varepsilon}\right) \right| = \|x - y\| |h_\varepsilon(t_2) - h_\varepsilon(t_1)|, \end{aligned}$$

for every $x, y \in PC_1$, every $t_1, t_2 \in [0, \infty)$ and every $\vartheta \in [0, \infty)$. Therefore,

$$\|H_\varepsilon(y, t_2) - H_\varepsilon(y, t_1)\| \leq |h_\varepsilon(t_2) - h_\varepsilon(t_1)|$$

and

$$\|H_\varepsilon(x, t_2) - H_\varepsilon(x, t_1) - H_\varepsilon(y, t_2) + H_\varepsilon(y, t_1)\| \leq \|x - y\| |h_\varepsilon(t_2) - h_\varepsilon(t_1)|$$

and hence $H_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, h_\varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$.

Consider $y \in PC_1$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$. Then, for $\vartheta \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G\left(y, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) - G(y, 0)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right)}{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G\left(y, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right)}{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}} = G_0(y)(\vartheta).$$

Hence (7.3) and (7.2) imply that, for every $\eta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that for $\vartheta \in [-\varepsilon r, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |G_0(y)(\vartheta)| & \leq \left| G_0(y)(\vartheta) - \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \left[G\left(y, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) - G(y, 0)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) \right] \right| + \\ & + \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \left| G\left(y, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) - G(y, 0)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) \right| \leq \eta + \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \left[h\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) - h(0) \right] < 2\eta + C, \end{aligned}$$

because $G \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, h)$ implies that $\|G(y, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}) - G(y, 0)\| \leq h(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}) - h(0)$. Then, since $\eta > 0$ can be chosen arbitrarily small, we obtain

$$\|G_0(y)\| \leq C, \quad y \in PC_1$$

Analogously, if $x, y \in PC_1$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, then for every $\eta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that, for $\vartheta \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |G_0(x)(\vartheta) - G_0(y)(\vartheta)| < \\ & < \eta + \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \left| G\left(y, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) - G(y, 0)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) - G\left(x, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) + G(x, 0)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) \right| \leq \\ & \leq \eta + \|x - y\| \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \left[h\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) - h(0) \right] \leq \eta + (\eta + C)\|y - x\| \leq \eta(1 + \|y - x\|) + C\|y - x\|, \end{aligned}$$

and, again, since $\eta > 0$ can be chosen sufficiently small, we obtain

$$\|G_0(x) - G_0(y)\| \leq C\|y - x\|, \quad x, y \in PC_1. \quad (7.7)$$

On the other hand, for $y \in PC_1$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, $t \neq 0$ and $\vartheta \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} H_\varepsilon(y, t)(\vartheta) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon G\left(y, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} t \frac{\varepsilon}{t} G\left(y, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) = tG_0(y)(\vartheta)$$

and, for $t = 0$ and $\vartheta \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} H_\varepsilon(y, 0)(\vartheta) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon G(y, 0)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) = 0.$$

Thus, defining $H_0(y, t) = tG_0(y)$, for $y \in PC_1$ and $t \geq 0$, then by the above relations, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} H_\varepsilon(y, t) = H_0(y, t).$$

Also, by (7.7) and (7.6), $H_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, h_0)$, where $h_0(t) = Ct$, $t \geq 0$. Furthermore, for $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < +\infty$, by the definition of h_ε , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_\varepsilon(t_2) - h_\varepsilon(t_1) &= \varepsilon \left[h\left(\frac{t_2}{\varepsilon}\right) - h\left(\frac{t_1}{\varepsilon}\right) \right] = \\ &= (t_2 - t_1) \frac{\varepsilon}{t_2 - t_1} \left[h\left(\frac{t_2 - t_1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{t_1}{\varepsilon}\right) - h\left(\frac{t_1}{\varepsilon}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and by (7.2),

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} [h_\varepsilon(t_2) - h_\varepsilon(t_1)] \leq C(t_2 - t_1) = h_0(t_2) - h_0(t_1), \quad (7.8)$$

since

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t_2 - t_1}{\varepsilon} = +\infty$$

Note that (7.8) is also satisfied when $t_1 = t_2$.

Using the fact that $y \in PC_1$ is a solution of (7.4) and using the properties of the Kurzweil integral, we have

$$y(s_2) - y(s_1) = \int_{s_1}^{s_2} G_0(y(\tau)) d\tau = \int_{s_1}^{s_2} D[G_0(y(\tau))t] = \int_{s_1}^{s_2} DH_0(y(\tau), t)$$

for every $s_1, s_2 \in [0, +\infty)$. Therefore y is a solution of the generalized ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dy}{d\tau} = DH_0(y, t)$$

on $[0, +\infty)$ and, by the conditions of this theorem and Theorem 4.1, this solution is uniquely determined.

In this way, we showed that all hypotheses of Theorem 4.2 are satisfied. Thus by Theorem 4.2, for every $\mu > 0$ and every $L > 0$, there is a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and there exists a solution y_ε of the ordinary generalized differential equation

$$\frac{dy}{d\tau} = DH_\varepsilon(y, t) \quad (7.9)$$

in the interval $[0, L]$ satisfying $y_\varepsilon(0) = y(0)$ and

$$\|y_\varepsilon(s) - y(s)\| \leq \mu \quad (7.10)$$

for every $s \in [0, L]$, where y is solution of (7.4).

For the solution $y_\varepsilon : [0, L] \rightarrow PC_1$ of (7.9), we also have

$$y_\varepsilon(s_2)(\vartheta) - y_\varepsilon(s_1)(\vartheta) = \int_{s_1}^{s_2} DH_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon(\tau), t)(\vartheta) = \varepsilon \int_{s_1}^{s_2} DG\left(y_\varepsilon(\tau), \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

for $s_1, s_2 \in [0, L]$ and $\vartheta \in [0, \infty)$. Thus, for $t \in [0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$ and $\vartheta \in [0, \infty)$, if we define $x_\varepsilon(t)(\vartheta) = y_\varepsilon(\varepsilon t)(\varepsilon \vartheta)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_\varepsilon(t_2)(\vartheta) - x_\varepsilon(t_1)(\vartheta) &= y_\varepsilon(\varepsilon t_2)(\varepsilon \vartheta) - y_\varepsilon(\varepsilon t_1)(\varepsilon \vartheta) = \varepsilon \int_{\varepsilon t_1}^{\varepsilon t_2} DG\left(y_\varepsilon(\sigma), \frac{s}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\varepsilon \vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) = \\ &= \varepsilon \int_{\varepsilon t_1}^{\varepsilon t_2} DG\left(x_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}\right), \frac{s}{\varepsilon}\right)(\vartheta) \end{aligned}$$

for $t_2, t_1 \in [0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$. Applying the substitution theorem (Theorem 4.3) for $\varphi(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}$, we obtain

$$\int_{\varepsilon t_1}^{\varepsilon t_2} DG\left(x_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}\right), \frac{s}{\varepsilon}\right) = \int_{\varphi(\varepsilon t_1)}^{\varphi(\varepsilon t_2)} DG(x_\varepsilon(\tau), t) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} DG(x_\varepsilon(\tau), t)$$

for every $t_1, t_2 \in [0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$. The last two equalities imply that

$$x_\varepsilon(t_2) - x_\varepsilon(t_1) = \varepsilon \int_{t_1}^{t_2} DG(x_\varepsilon(\tau), t)$$

for $t_2, t_1 \in [0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$, and hence

$$x_\varepsilon(0) = y_\varepsilon(0) = y(0).$$

Thus the function $x_\varepsilon : [0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}] \rightarrow PC_1$ is a solution of generalized differential equation (7.5) on $[0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$.

Analogously, we can show that the function $\xi_\varepsilon : [0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}] \rightarrow PC_1$ given by $\xi_\varepsilon(t)(\vartheta) = y(\varepsilon t)(\varepsilon \vartheta)$ is a solution of the autonomous ordinary differential equation (7.6) on $[0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$.

Finally, by (7.10), we obtain

$$|x_\varepsilon(t)(\vartheta) - \xi_\varepsilon(t)(\vartheta)| = |y_\varepsilon(\varepsilon t)(\varepsilon \vartheta) - y(\varepsilon t)(\varepsilon \vartheta)| < \mu,$$

and hence

$$\|x_\varepsilon(t) - \xi_\varepsilon(t)\| < \mu$$

for every $t \in [0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$ and the theorem is proved. $\square$

The next result is an averaging method for impulsive ODEs, taking $r = 0$ in the RFDEs, and it generalizes [21], Theorem 8.14.

Theorem 7.2. *Let $\Omega = B \times [0, \infty)$, where $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < c\}$, $c > 0$. Suppose $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies conditions (A^*) and (B^*) . Suppose the conditions $(C1^*)$ and $(C2)$ to $(C5)$ are fulfilled and assume that*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \leq t_k^i \leq \alpha+r, \\ i=1, \dots, m(\tau_k)}} 1 \leq d$$

for every $\alpha \geq 0$. Assume further that $I_i : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, is a sequence of impulse operators which satisfy conditions (A'^) and (B'^*) . Suppose*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(y, s) ds = f_0(y), \quad y \in B,$$

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{0 \leq t_i < T} I_i(x) = I_0(x), \quad x \in B \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_\alpha^{T+\alpha} [M(s) + L(s)] ds \leq c, \quad c = \text{constant},$$

for every $t \in [0, +\infty)$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Let $y \in G^-([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$ be the uniquely determined solution of the autonomous differential equation

$$\dot{y} = f_0(y) + I_0(y)$$

Then, for every $\mu > 0$ and every $L > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, the inequality

$$|y^\varepsilon(t) - \xi^\varepsilon(t)| < \mu$$

holds on $[0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$, where y^ε is a solution of the impulsive differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = \varepsilon f(y, t), & t \neq \tau_i(y(t)) \\ \Delta y(t) = y(t+) - y(t) = \varepsilon I_i(y(t)), & t = \tau_i(y(t)) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases} \quad (7.11)$$

on $[0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$ such that $y^\varepsilon(0) = y(0)$, and ξ^ε is the solution of averaged system

$$\dot{y} = \varepsilon[f_0(y) + I_0(y)] \quad (7.12)$$

on $[0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$ such that $\xi^\varepsilon(0) = y(0)$.

Proof. Let

$$G(y, t) = \int_0^t f(y, s) ds + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m(\tau_k)} H_k^i(t) I_k(y(t_k^i)).$$

It is not difficult to show that the generalized ODE

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = D[\varepsilon G(x, t)] \quad (7.13)$$

is equivalent to the ordinary system (7.11). Here $H_k^i(t) = 0$ for $t \in [0, t_k^i]$ and $H_k^i(t) = 1$ for $t > t_k^i$.

By the hypotheses, it is easy to verify that $G : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, h)$, where

$$h(t) = \int_0^t [M(s) + L(s)]ds + \max(K_1, K_2) \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m(\tau_k)} H_k^i(t), \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

Furthermore, the hypotheses also imply that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(y, T)}{T} = f_0(y) + I_0(y) = G_0(y)$$

and

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(T + \alpha) - h(\alpha)}{T} \leq c + \max(K_1, K_2)d,$$

for $x \in B$ and $\alpha \geq 0$.

Thus, the hypotheses of Theorem 8.12 of [21] are satisfied and the result follows immediately from the correspondence between the impulsive system (7.11) and the generalized system (7.13) and from the correspondence between the averaged ordinary system (7.12) and $\dot{x} = G_0(x)$. $\square$

In the next lines, we present an averaging result for RFDEs without impulses borrowed from [7]. Such result will be used to get our main theorem.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a small parameter and consider the non impulsive initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = \varepsilon f(y_t, t) \\ y_0 = \phi, \end{cases} \quad (7.14)$$

where $\phi \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f : G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies condition (A^*) and the following condition

(K) There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for $x, y \in PC_1$ and $u_1, u_2 \in [0, +\infty)$,

$$\left| \int_{u_1}^{u_2} [f(y_s, s) - f(x_s, s)] ds \right| \leq C \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \|y_s - x_s\| ds.$$

Clearly condition (K) implies condition (B^*) .

We assume that the limit

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(\psi, s) ds = f_0(\psi). \quad (7.15)$$

exists for every $\psi \in G^-([-r, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$ and that the averaged equation for problem (7.14) is given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = \varepsilon f_0(y_t) \\ y_0 = \phi. \end{cases} \quad (7.16)$$

The next lemma implies that, under the above considerations, a solution of (7.14) and a solution of (7.16) can be made close enough in an interval $[0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$, where $L > 0$ is arbitrary and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ (see [7], Corollary 3.1).

Lemma 7.1 ([7], Corollary 3.2). *Consider the RFDE (7.14), where f satisfies conditions (A^*) and (K) , and consider its averaged equation (7.16). Then for every $\rho > 0$ and every $L > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$,*

$$\left| \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}} f(y_s, s) ds - \int_0^t f_0(\bar{y}_s) ds \right| < \rho, \quad t \in [0, L],$$

(and hence $\|y - \bar{y}\| < \rho$), where y is a solution of (7.14) on $[0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$ and $\bar{y}$ is a solution of (7.16) on $[0, L]$.

Consider the RFDE without impulses

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = f(y_t, t) \\ y_0 = \phi, \end{cases} \quad (7.17)$$

where $\phi \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f : G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies conditions (A^*) and (K) . By Theorem 5.1, the corresponding generalized ODE is given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{d\tau} = DF(x, t) \\ x(0) = \tilde{x}, \end{cases}$$

with initial condition

$$x(0)(\vartheta) = \tilde{x}(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} \phi(\vartheta), & 0 - r \leq \vartheta \leq 0, \\ \phi(0), & 0 \leq \vartheta < \infty, \end{cases} \quad (7.18)$$

where for $y \in PC_1$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, $F : \Omega \rightarrow PC_1$ is given by

$$F(y, t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 0, & t_0 - r \leq \vartheta \leq t_0, \\ \int_{t_0}^{\vartheta} f(y_s, s) ds, & t_0 \leq \vartheta \leq t < \infty, \\ \int_{t_0}^t f(y_s, s) ds, & t_0 \leq t \leq \vartheta < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Now, we consider $\varepsilon > 0$ as a small parameter and the RFDE (7.14). Then the corresponding generalized ODE is given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{d\tau} = D[\varepsilon F(x, t)] \\ x(0) = \tilde{x}, \end{cases}$$

with $\tilde{x}$ defined by (7.18).

Note that, for $y \in PC_1$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$\varepsilon F\left(y, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) = \begin{cases} 0, & -r \leq \vartheta \leq 0, \\ \varepsilon \int_0^{\vartheta/\varepsilon} f(y_s, s) ds, & 0 \leq \frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{t}{\varepsilon} < \infty, \\ \varepsilon \int_0^{t/\varepsilon} f(y_s, s) ds, & 0 \leq \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon} < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Now, for $y \in PC_1$ and $t \in [-r, \infty)$, define $H_0 : \Omega \rightarrow PC_1$ by

$$H_0(y, t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 0, & -r \leq \vartheta \leq 0, \\ \int_0^\vartheta f_0(y_s) ds, & 0 \leq \vartheta \leq t < \infty \\ \int_0^t f_0(y_s) ds, & 0 \leq t \leq \vartheta < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (7.19)$$

Then, by Lemma 7.1, given $y \in PC_1$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$H_0(y, t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \vartheta \in [-r, 0], \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon F\left(y, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right) = t \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varepsilon}{t} F\left(y, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right), & \vartheta \in [0, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (7.20)$$

Define, for $y \in PC_1$, and $t > 0$,

$$F_0(y)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 0, & -r \leq \vartheta \leq 0, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varepsilon}{t} F\left(y, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right), & \vartheta \in [0, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (7.21)$$

It is not difficult to prove that F_0 is well-defined and it is independent of $t \in [0, \infty)$. Thus,

$$H_0(y, t) = F_0(y)t \quad (7.22)$$

for $y \in PC_1$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, and hence (7.19) defines the generalized ODE

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DH_0(y, t) = D[F_0(y)t] \quad (7.23)$$

which corresponds to the averaged RFDE (7.16).

On the other hand, equation (7.23) is an abstract ODE taking values in the Frechét space $G^-([-r, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$ of left continuous regulated functions from $[-r, \infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$, and from the properties of the Kurzweil integral, (7.23) can be written in the form

$$\dot{x} = F_0(x).$$

Now, we consider the following RFDEs with impulses

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = f(y_t, t), & t \neq \tau_i(y(t)) \\ \Delta y(t) = I_i(y(t)), & t = \tau_i(y(t)) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \\ y_0 = \phi \end{cases} \quad (7.24)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = \varepsilon f(y_t, t), & t \neq \tau_i(y(t)) \\ \Delta y(t) = \varepsilon I_i(y(t)), & t = \tau_i(y(t)) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \\ y_0 = \phi, \end{cases} \quad (7.25)$$

where $\phi \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f : G^-([-r, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy conditions (A^*) and (K) , the impulse operators $I_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$, satisfy conditions (A'^*) and (B'^*) . Moreover, we assume that conditions $(C1^*)$ and $(C2)$ to $(C5)$ are fulfilled.

Let $t > 0$ and assume that the following limit exists

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq t_k^i < \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \\ i=1, \dots, m(\tau_k)}} I_i(x) = I^0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It can be shown that the above limit is independent of $t > 0$. Then, for $y \in PC_1$, we have

$$I^0(y(t_k^i)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq t_k^i < \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \\ i=1, \dots, m(\tau_k)}} I_k(y(t_k^i)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m(\tau_k)} I_k(y(t_k^i)) H_k^i\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

where H_k^i is the left continuous Heaviside function concentrated at t_k^i .

For $y \in PC_1$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, define

$$J(y, t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \vartheta \in [-r, 0], \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{m(\tau_k)} I_k(y(t_k^i)) H_k^i(t) H_k^i(\vartheta), & \vartheta \in [0, \infty), \end{cases} \quad (7.26)$$

and

$$J_0(y)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \vartheta \in [-r, 0], \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varepsilon}{t} J\left(y, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right), & \vartheta \in [0, \infty), \quad t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $J : PC_1 \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow G^-([-r, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $J_0 : PC_1 \rightarrow G^-([-r, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, J_0 is well-defined and its definition is independent of $t > 0$.

The next theorem is an averaging method for RFDEs with impulses. It is a consequence of Theorem 7.1.

Theorem 7.3. *Suppose y and y^ε are the solutions of the impulsive RFDEs (7.24) and (7.25) respectively, where $\phi \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f : G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies conditions (A^*) and (K) . Assume that f_0 is given by (7.15). Suppose*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \int_{\alpha}^{\frac{t}{\varepsilon} + \alpha} M(s) ds \leq c, \quad c = \text{constant}, \quad (7.27)$$

for every $\alpha \geq 0$ and every $t > 0$, and conditions $(C1^*)$ and $(C2)$ to (C_5) are fulfilled. Let

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq t_k^i < \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \\ i=1, \dots, m(\tau_k)}} 1 \leq d \quad (7.28)$$

for every $\alpha \geq 0$ and every $t > 0$. Assume further that $I_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $k = 1, \dots, m(\tau_k)$, is a sequence of impulse operators satisfying conditions (A'^*) and (B'^*) . Suppose

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq t_k^i < \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \\ i=1, \dots, m(\tau_k)}} I_k(x) = I^0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, for every $\mu > 0$ and every $L > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, the inequality

$$\|(y^\varepsilon)_t - (\bar{y}^\varepsilon)_t\| < \mu$$

holds for every $t \in [0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$, where $\bar{y}^\varepsilon$ is the solution of the autonomous RFDE

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = \varepsilon[f_0(y_t) + I^0(y(t))], \\ y_0 = \phi. \end{cases} \quad (7.29)$$

Proof. In this proof, we consider the notation and terminology introduced in the paragraphs before the theorem. Note that system (7.25) is equivalent to the generalized ODE

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = D[\varepsilon G(x, t)], \quad (7.30)$$

with initial condition (7.18), where G is given by (5.3). By Theorem 5.1, the solution x_ε of (7.30) is given by

$$x_\varepsilon(t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} y^\varepsilon(\vartheta), & \vartheta \in [-r, t] \\ y^\varepsilon(t), & \vartheta \in [t, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Again, by Theorem 5.1, if ξ_ε is given by

$$\xi_\varepsilon(t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} (\bar{y}^\varepsilon)(\vartheta), & \vartheta \in [-r, t] \\ (\bar{y}^\varepsilon)(t), & \vartheta \in [t, \infty). \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{y}^\varepsilon$ is the solution of (7.29), then ξ_ε is a solution of

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = D[\varepsilon G_0(x)],$$

where $G_0(x) = F_0(x) + J_0(x)$. Note that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G\left(x, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\varepsilon}\right)}{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}} = G_0(x)(\vartheta), \quad t > 0.$$

For $\alpha > 0$ and $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon} + \alpha\right) - h(\alpha)}{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}} &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon \frac{h_2\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon} + \alpha\right) - h_2(\alpha) + h_1\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon} + \alpha\right) - h_1(\alpha)}{t} = \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \int_{\alpha}^{\frac{t}{\varepsilon} + \alpha} M(s) ds + \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \int_{\alpha}^{\frac{t}{\varepsilon} + \alpha} C ds + \\ &+ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \left(\max(K_1, K_2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m(\tau_k)} [H_k^i\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon} + \alpha\right) - H_k^i(\alpha)] \right) \\ &\leq c + C + \max(K_1, K_2)d, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore all the hypotheses of Theorem 7.1 are satisfied and, hence, for every $\mu > 0$ and every $L > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, the inequality

$$\|x_\varepsilon(t) - \xi_\varepsilon(t)\| < \mu$$

holds, for $t \in [0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$. Finally, for every $t \in [0, \frac{L}{\varepsilon}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(y^\varepsilon)_t - (\bar{y}^\varepsilon)_t\| &= \sup_{\theta \in [-r, 0]} |y^\varepsilon(t + \theta) - \bar{y}^\varepsilon(t + \theta)| = \sup_{\vartheta \in [t-r, t]} |y^\varepsilon(\vartheta) - \bar{y}^\varepsilon(\vartheta)| \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{\vartheta \in [-r, t]} |y^\varepsilon(\vartheta) - \bar{y}^\varepsilon(\vartheta)| = \sup_{\vartheta \in [-r, t]} |x_\varepsilon(t)(\vartheta) - \xi_\varepsilon(t)(\vartheta)| \leq \|x_\varepsilon(t) - \xi_\varepsilon(t)\| < \mu \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain the desired result. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Artstein, Averaging of time-varying differential equations revisited. *J. Differential Equations* 243 (2007), no. 2, 146-167.
- [2] D. D. Bainov; S. D. Milusheva, Application of the averaging method for functional differential equations with impulses. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 95 (1983), 85-105.
- [3] D. D. Bainov, S. D. Milusheva, Justification of the averaging method for a system of functional differential equations with variable structure and impulses, *Appl. Math. and Optimization*, 16 (1987), 19-36.
- [4] D. Bainov; S. D. Milusheva, Justification of the averaging method for a class of functional differential equations with impulses, *J. London Math. Soc.* 25(2) (1982), 309-331.
- [5] N. N. Krylov, N. N. Bogolyubov, *New Methods in Linear Mechanics*, Kiev, GTTs, 1934 (Russian).
- [6] M. Federson; J. B. Godoy, *A new continuous dependence result for impulsive functional differential equations*, submitted.
- [7] M. Federson; J. B. Godoy, *Averaging for retarded functional differential equations*, submitted.
- [8] M. Federson; Š. Schwabik, Generalized ODEs approach to impulsive retarded differential equations, *Differential and Integral Equations* 19(11), (2006), 1201-1234.
- [9] V. I. Fodčuk, The method of averaging for differential difference equations of the neutral type, *Ukrainian Math. J.*, 20 (1968), 203-209.
- [10] A. Halanay, On the method of averaging for differential equations with retarded argument, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 14 (1966), 70-76.
- [11] J. K. Hale, Averaging methods for differential equations with retarded arguments with a small parameter, *J. Differential Equations*, 2 (1966), 57-73.
- [12] J. K. Hale; S. M. Verduyn Lunel, Averaging in infinite dimensions, *J. Integral Equations Appl.* 2(4) (1990), 463-494.
- [13] C. S. Hönl, *Volterra Stieltjes-integral equations. Functional analytic methods; linear constraints*. Mathematics Studies, No. 16. Notas de Matemática, No. 56. [Notes on Mathematics, No. 56] North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-Oxford; American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1975.
- [14] J. Kurzweil, Generalized ordinary differential equations and continuous dependence on a parameter, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 7(82) (1957), 418-448.
- [15] M. Lakrib, Time averaging for functional differential equations, *J. Appl. Math.* 1, (2003), 1-16.
- [16] M. Lakrib, T. Sari, Averaging results for functional differential equations, *Siberian Math. J.* 45(2), (2004), 311-320.
- [17] B. Lehman, S. P. Weibel, Averaging theory for functional differential equations, *Proc. 37th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, USA, (1998), 1352-1357.

- [18] G. N. Medvedev, Asymptotic solutions of some systems of differential equations with deviating argument, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 9 (1968), 85-87.
- [19] N. N. Bogolyubov, A. Mitropolskii, *Assymptotic Methods in the Theory of Non-linear Oscillations*, Moscou, Nauka, 1963 (Russian).
- [20] Š. Schwabik, Averaging for generalized differential equations. *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Nonlinear Oscillations* (Budapest, 1987), 318-321, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 1987.
- [21] Š. Schwabik, *Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations*, Series in Real Anal., vol. 5, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.
- [22] V. Strygin, The averaging principle for equations with hereditary. *Ukrainian Math. J.*, 22(4), (1971), 430-439.
- [23] V. M. Volosov; G. N. Medvedev; B. I. Morgunov, Application of the averaging method to the solution of certain systems of differential equations with deviating argument. (Russian) *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. III Fiz. Astronom* 1965(6) (1965), 89-91.

(M. Federson) INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS MATEMÁTICAS E DE COMPUTAÇÃO, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO-CAMPUS DE SÃO CARLOS, CAIXA POSTAL 668, 13560-970 SÃO CARLOS SP, BRAZIL

E-mail address: federson@icmc.usp.br

(J. B. Godoy) INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS MATEMÁTICAS E DE COMPUTAÇÃO, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO-CAMPUS DE SÃO CARLOS, CAIXA POSTAL 668, 13560-970 SÃO CARLOS SP, BRAZIL

E-mail address: jaquebg@icmc.usp.br

NOTAS DO ICMC

SÉRIE MATEMÁTICA

- 330/10** MORGADO, M. F. Z.; SAIA, M. J. – Lê numbers of pham-Brieskorn arrangements.
- 329/10** GRULHA JÚNIOR, N.G. – Stability of the Euler obstruction of f on free divisors.
- 328/10** AHMED, I.; RUAS, M.A.S. – Invariants of relative right and contact equivalences.
- 327/10** CARO, N.; LEVCOVITZ, D. – On theorem of Stafford.
- 326/10** FEDERSON, M.; GODOY, J.B. – Averaging for retarded functional differential equations.
- 325/10** FERNANDES, A. – Fast loops on semi-weighted homogeneous hypersurface singularities.
- 324/10** FEDERSON, M.; GODOY, J.B. – A new continuous dependence result for impulsive retarded functional differential equations.
- 323/10** AFONSO, S.; BONOTTO, E.; FEDERSON, M.; SCHWABIK, S. – Discontinuous local semiflows for Kurzweil equations leading to Lasalle's invariance principle for differential systems with impulses at variable times.
- 322/09** COSTA, J. C. F.; SAIA, M. J. ; SOARES JR., C. H. – Bi-Lipschitz, $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{R}_V, \mathcal{C}_V, \mathcal{K}_V$
- 321/09** FENILLE, M. C. – On acyclic and simply connected open manifolds.