Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação

ISSN - 0103-2577

GRÖBNER BASES AND THE IMMERSIONS OF REAL FLAG MANIFOLDS IN EUCLIDEAN SPACES

ANTONIO CONDE
MIRIAN PERCIA MENDES

Nº 68

NOTAS DO ICMC
Série Matemática

São Carlos
Nov./1998

Gröbner bases and the immersions of real flag manifolds in Euclidean spaces

Antonio Conde
and
Mirian Percia Mendes

Abstract

The purpose of this note is to try to answer some questions about the immersion problem of the real flag manifolds in Euclidean spaces[1].

[1]AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 57R42; 57R20; 57R22; 57R15.

1 Introduction

Let M^m, N^n be compact connected without boundary differentiable manifolds and $f : M \to N$ a differentiable mapping. If $T(M)$, $T(N)$ are their corresponding tangent bundles, f induces a bundle homomorphism $df : T(M) \to T(N)$. The mapping f is called an immersion if $df(x)$ is a monomorphism for each x in the manifold. In this case $n \geq m$. We call the integer number $n - m$ by codimension of the immersion.

The natural question then arises: *Given manifolds M and N, is there an immersion of M in N?*

The problem we are concerned with in our work intends to associate, to each real flag manifold F, the least integer number $i(F)$ such that F immerses in $\mathbb{R}^{i(F)}$.

Hirsch in [12] locates the essential element related to each manifold that gives us the minimum codimension, namely, the minimal rank of a normal bundle of an immersion. He establishes the following result.

Theorem 1.1 *M^m can be immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{m+k}$ if and only if there exists a bundle ξ of dimension k such that $TM \oplus \xi$ is isomorphic to the $(m+k)\varepsilon$, the trivial bundle of dimension $m+k$ over M.*

So, we need to find the least k among the ξ^k's that verify $TF \oplus \xi \equiv (m+k)\varepsilon$.

As the vanishing of some of the Stiefel-Whitney characteristic classes is a necessary condition for the immersion, we face our problem, now as a problem of vector bundles, by dealing with these classes. The idea involved is quite standard in that the appropriate Stiefel-Whitney class of the normal bundle is nonzero. In this sense, they provide us with results of obstructions to the differential manifolds immersions.

We are grateful to a number of people but particularly to Prof. Nelza E. Barufatti and to Prof. Peter Zvengrowski for their encouragement in writing this note.

2 Preliminaries (Background of classical results)

Let G be a compact Lie group of dimension n and H be a closed subgroup of dimension h.

Consider the H-principal bundle $G \to G/H$: the adjoint representation of G restricted to H, $Ad|_H : H \to O(n)$; the adjoint representation of H, $Ad_H : H \to O(h)$; and the isotropic representation of H, $\iota : H \to O(n-h)$. All the above give us the decomposition $Ad|_H = Ad_H \oplus \iota$. Here $O(n)$ is the orthogonal group (see [13], [21]).

This decomposition and the mixing construction give us another decomposition:

$$(Ad|_H) = (Ad_H) \oplus (\iota)$$

where $(Ad|_H)$, (Ad_H) and (ι) are corresponding vector bundles over G/H.

Classical results show us that $(Ad|_H)$ is trivial and that (ι) is isomorphic to $T(G/H)$ (see [5], [6], [10]).

With this information, we conclude, by Theorem 1.1, that (Ad_H) is a normal bundle of the homogeneous space G/H for some immersion of G/H in a Euclidean space.

The following commutative diagram contains all the information we need.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H \subset G \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, "p"'] & EG \arrow[r, "q"] \arrow[d, "q_H"] & BG \\
G/H \arrow[r, hook, "i_H"] \arrow[dr, "f"'] & (EG)/H \arrow[r, equal] & BH \arrow[u, "\tilde{q}"'] \arrow[dl, "BAd_H"] \\
& BO(h) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

diagram 2.1

Here $EG \xrightarrow{q} BG$ is the G-principal bundle (known as the classifying bundle of G, and, in this sense, the universal bundle of G) given by Milnor construction; q_H is the quotient map of the action of H on EG (since EG is contractible, q_H is also a classifying map of H); p is the quotient map of the bundle we are dealing with; i_H, j are inclusions; BAd_H is the map induced by the adjoint representation of H, $Ad_H : H \to O(h)$; and $\tilde{q}$ comes from the following fact:

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
H \subset EG \supset G \arrow[d, "q_H"'] \arrow[dr, "q"] & \\
G/H \subset BH \arrow[r, "\tilde{q}"'] & BG
\end{tikzcd}
$$

diagram 2.2

As $H \subset G$, we have two bundles, namely, a H-principal bundle and a G-bundle with fibre G/H.

Besides this, the composed map $f = BAd_H \circ i_H$ is the classifying map of the normal bundle over G/H.

3 The real flag manifolds

The background information below can be found in [2], [14], [15], [16], [24].

Definition 3.1 *Let $n_1, \ldots, n_s$ be positive integers numbers. A real flag of type $(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$ is a s-ples $(V_1, \ldots, V_s)$ where each V_i is an n_i-subspace of the real Euclidean n-space $\mathbb{R}^n$ $(n = n_1 + \cdots + n_s)$ and the V_j's are mutually orthogonal. The space of all such flags, $F(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$, may be identified with the homogeneous space $O(n)/(O(n_1) \times \ldots \times O(n_s))$ where $O(n), O(n_1), \ldots, O(n_s)$ are the suitable orthogonal group.*

With this identification, $F = F(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$, becomes a compact connected differentiable manifold of dimension $(n^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i^2)/2$. We call them *real flag manifolds*.

So, these manifolds F are spaces of type G/H where $G = O(n)$ is a compact Lie group and $H = O(n_1) \times \ldots \times O(n_s)$ is a closed subgroup of G. Then we can conclude that the normal bundle of F, $\eta(F)$, is given by (Ad_H).

Lam in [16] proves the following result.

Theorem 3.2 *The real flag manifold F can be immersed in Euclidean space with codimension h where $h = \dim H = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \binom{n_i}{2}$, provided this codimension is nonzero.*

Remark 3.3 The fact that the codimension is zero tells us that we are dealing with $F(1, \ldots, 1)$ and this flag has a trivial tangent bundle. So, it immerses in codimension 1 (see [16], [24]).

4 Some obstructions to the immersion of real flag manifolds

Let $D(n)$ be $O(1)\times\ldots\times O(1)$ (n-times). Then we have $D(n)\subset H\subset O(h)\subset O(n)$.

A piece of diagram 2.1 gives us the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(1,\ldots,1) \arrow[r, hook, "i_{D(n)}"] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & BD(n) \arrow[r, "BAd|_{D(n)}"] \arrow[d] & BD(h) \arrow[d] \\
F(n_1,\ldots,n_s) \arrow[r, hook, "i"] & BH \arrow[r, "BAd"] & BO(h)
\end{tikzcd}$$

diagram 4.1

where π is the canonical quotient map; BAd is the induced of the adjoint representation of H, $Ad : H \to O(h)$; $BAd|_{D(n)}$ is the induced of $Ad|_{D(n)}$; $\bar{q}$ is the map induced by the inclusion $D(h)\subset O(h)$ as all the other maps derived from the inclusions.

Taking the cohomology, with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_2$, we have:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^*(BD(h)) \arrow[r, "BAd|_{D(n)}^*"] & H^*(BD(n)) \arrow[r, "i_{D(n)}^*"] & H^*(F(1,\ldots,1)) \\
H^*(BO(h)) \arrow[r, "BAd^*"] \arrow[u] & H^*(BH) \arrow[r, "i^*"] \arrow[u] & H^*(F(n_1,\ldots,n_s)) \arrow[u, "\pi^*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

diagram 4.2

where the vertical arrows are monomorphisms (see [4]).

Therefore, we can transform our study of BAd^* and i^* into the study of $BAd|^*_{D(n)}$ and $i^*_{D(n)}$.

The cohomology of $BD(n)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is a polynomial ring in n variables $x_1,\ldots,x_n$, i.e., $H^*(BD(n))\equiv\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ where $x_i\in H^j(BD(n))$.

On the other hand $\bar{q} : BD(n) \to BO(n)$ induces the monomorphism $\bar{q}^* : H^*(BO(n)) \to H^*(BD(n))$ on the invariant elements for the symmetric group in n letters (see [23]).

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{q}^* : \mathbb{Z}_2 [W_1, \ldots, W_n] &\to \mathbb{Z}_2 [x_1, \ldots, x_n] \\ W_k \in H^k (BO(n); \mathbb{Z}_2) &\mapsto \sigma_k(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

where W_k is the k^{th} Stiefel-Whitney class of the universal bundle of $O(n)$ and σ_k is the k^{th} elementary symmetric polynomial in n variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ (see [3]).

The Lie algebra of $O(n)$ has matrices of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{12} & b_{13} & \cdots & b_{1n} \\ -b_{12} & 0 & b_{23} & \cdots & b_{2n} \\ -b_{13} & -b_{23} & 0 & \cdots & b_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -b_{1n} & -b_{2n} & -b_{3n} & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So, the matrices of Lie algebra of H are formed by blocks of order n_i, $i = 1, \ldots, s$.

And more than this, as $D(h) = O(1) \times \ldots \times O(1)$ (h-times), h is the number of generators of $H^*(BD(h))$.

Indexing such generators as the Lie algebra of $O(n)$ we obtain:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boxed{\begin{matrix} 0 & t_{12} & \cdots & t_{1n_1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ -t_{1n_1} & -t_{2n_1} & \cdots & 0 \end{matrix}} & & \\ & \boxed{\begin{matrix} 0 & t_{n_1+1\, n_1+2} & \cdots & t_{n_1+1\, n_1+n_2} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ -t_{n_1+1\, n_1+n_2} & -t_{n_1+2\, n_1+n_2} & \cdots & 0 \end{matrix}} & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \boxed{} \end{pmatrix}$$

and then our $BAd|^*_{D(n)}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^*(BD(h)) & \xrightarrow{BAd_{|D(n)}^{\;*}} & H^*(BD(n)) \\
\cong & & \cong \\
\mathbb{Z}_2\left[t_{12},\ldots,t_{1n_1},t_{23},\ldots,t_{2n_1},\ldots,t_{n-1n}\right] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_2\left[x_1,\ldots,x_n\right] \\
& & t_{ij} \longmapsto BAd_{|D(n)}^{\;*}\,(t_{ij}) = x_i + x_j
\end{array}
$$

diagram 4.3

The bundle $F(n_1,\ldots,n_s) \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} BH \overset{\tilde{q}}{\to} BO(n)$ induces $H^*(BO(n)) \overset{\tilde{q}^*}{\to} H^*(BH) \overset{i^*}{\to} H^*(F(n_1,\ldots,n_s))$.

Besides this, i^* is epimorphism with kernel generated by $\tilde{q}^*(W_1),\ldots,\tilde{q}^*(W_n)$.

Using $F(1,\ldots,1)$ and $D(n)$ in place of $F = F(n_1,\ldots,n_s)$ and H, we obtain:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
H^*(BO(n)) & \xrightarrow{\bar{q}^*} & H^*(BD(n)) & \xrightarrow{i_{D(n)}^{\;*}} & H^*(F(1,\ldots,1)) \\
\cong & & \cong & & \cong \\
\mathbb{Z}_2\left[W_1,\ldots,W_n\right] & & \mathbb{Z}_2\left[x_1,\ldots,x_n\right] & & \mathbb{Z}_2\left[x_1,\ldots,x_n\right]\Big/\mathcal{I}_n
\end{array}
$$

diagram 4.4

where the kernel of $i^*_{D(n)}$, i.e., $\mathcal{I}_n$ is $<\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_n>$, the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ generated by the elementary symmetric polynomials $\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_n$ in n variables $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ (see [3], [15]).

As $BAd\circ i$ is the classifying map of $\eta(F)$, we can write $i^*\circ BAd^*(W_k) = w_k(\eta(F))$ where $w_k(\eta(F))$ is the k^{th} Stiefel-Whitney class of $\eta(F)$.

Combining all this information, we finally get the commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{Z}_2\left[t_{12},\ldots,t_{n-1n}\right] & \xrightarrow{BAd_{|D(n)}^{\;*}} & \mathbb{Z}_2\left[x_1,\ldots,x_n\right] & \xrightarrow{i_{D(n)}^{\;*}} & \mathbb{Z}_2\left[x_1,\ldots,x_n\right]\Big/\mathcal{I}_n \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \pi^*} \\
\mathbb{Z}_2\left[W_1,\ldots,W_h\right] & \xrightarrow{BAd^*} & H^*(BH) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^*(F(1,\ldots,1))
\end{array}
$$

diagram 4.5

Here the k^{th} Stiefel-Whitney class of the universal bundle of $O(h)$, i.e., W_k is mapped on τ_k , the k^{th} elementary symmetric polynomial in variables t_{ij} with $i < j$. So, we have:

Theorem 4.1 *The k^{th} Stiefel-Whitney class of the normal bundle of the real flag manifold F is zero if and only if the k^{th} elementary symmetric polynomial $\tau_k(t_{12}, \ldots, t_{n-1n})$ evaluated on h elements $x_i + x_j$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ belongs to ideal $\mathcal{I}_n$.*

Proof As π^* is a monomorphism then $w_k(\eta(F)) = 0$ if and only if $\pi^*(w_k(\eta(F))) = 0$, i.e., if and only if $\pi^*(i^*(BAd^*(W_k))) = 0$.

On the other hand, from the commutativity of the diagram 4.5 this is equivalent to $BAd|^*_{D(n)}(\tau_k) \in \mathcal{I}_n$.

Observing that $BAd|^*_{D(n)}(\tau_k) = \tau_k(x_i + x_j)$ we arrive at the result. □

With this Theorem the immersion problem becomes an algebraic problem. We need to know if the polynomial $\tau_k((x_i + x_j))$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}_n$.

The following is in [17].

Proposition 4.2 *Let $\mathbb{Z}_2[\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n]$ be the subring of $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ generated by the elementary symmetric polynomials $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ in variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Then $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_2[\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n]$-module with standard base given by the monomials $x_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ where $0 \le \alpha_i \le n - i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.*

By Proposition 4.2, every p in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ can be written in a unique way as $p = \sum_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n} a_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n} x_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ where $a_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n} = a_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n]$. So, with the notation above, we have:

Lemma 4.3 *If $p \in \mathcal{I}_n$ then no coefficients $a_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n}$ can be equal to $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof If $p \in \mathcal{I}_n$ then $p = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \sigma_j$ where $p_j \in \mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. On the other hand, $p_j = \sum_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n} p^j_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n} x_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ with $p^j_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n} \in \mathbb{Z}_2[\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n]$. Then $p = \sum_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n} (\sum_{j=1}^n p^j_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n} \sigma_j) x_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ and we can conclude that $a_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n} = \sum_{j=1}^n p^j_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n} \sigma_j$ cannot be constant and we have the result. □

Theorem 4.4 *The real flag manifold $F(2, \ldots, 2)$ (s-times) can be immersed in Euclidean space with codimension s and this codimension is the best possible.*

Proof As by Theorem 3.2. $F(2,\ldots,2)$ can be immersed in Euclidean space with codimension s, we only have to show that $\tau_s = \tau_s(x_1+x_2,\ldots,x_{2s-1}+x_{2s}) \notin \mathcal{I}_{2s}$. In $\mathbb{Z}_2$, $x_{2s} = \sigma_1+x_1+\cdots+x_{2s-1}$ and then $\tau_s = [(x_1+x_2)\ldots(x_{2s-3}+x_{2s-2})](\sigma_1+x_1+\cdots+x_{2s-2}) = [(x_1+x_2)\ldots(x_{2s-3}+x_{2s-2})]\sigma_1 + [(x_1+x_2)\ldots(x_{2s-3}+x_{2s-2})](x_1+\cdots+x_{2s-2})$. The first term in the last sum belongs to $\mathcal{I}_{2s}$ but the second term, by the Lemma 4.3. does not. $\square$

Remark 4.5 This result was presented by Conde in [7] with a more elementary but much longer proof. The proof given here was communicated to us by Prof. Brasil T. Leme, whom we thank.

5 Gröbner bases and the algebraic problem

All the information in this section can be found in [1] and [8].

The first aspect to be observed is that the division algorithm gives us the solution for our algebraic problem in the case of one variable. Thus, the way to solve this problem is to generalize the division algorithm for several variables.

The key point is to fix a monomial ordering in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$.

To divide a polynomial p by a finite sequence of polynomials $g_1,\ldots,g_t$ means expressing p as $p = q_1g_1+\ldots+q_tg_t+r$ with quotients $q_i (i=1,\ldots,t)$ and remainder r in this ring . For this, we must be careful in characterizing r. At this point, we need the monomial ordering.

If after the division of p by $(g_1,\ldots,g_t)$ we get $r=0$, then $p \in < g_1,\ldots,g_t >$. the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ generated by $g_1,\ldots,g_t$.

Since r is not uniquely determined, the converse of the above mentioned is not true.

In order to solve this inconvenience, we need to find a *"good"* set of generators for our ideal where the condition $r=0$ is equivalent to $\tau_k((x_i+x_j)) \in \mathcal{I}_n$.

The set of *"good"* generators for the ideal $\mathcal{I}_n$ is the Gröbner bases.

With them, $\tau_k((x_i+x_j)) \in \mathcal{I}_n$ if and only if the remainder r of the division of this polynomial by one Gröbner base for our ideal is zero.

Bearing this and the Theorem 5.1 in mind, we developed an algorithm, using the software Maple V Release 4 (Waterloo Maple Inc., June 1996) which produced the following table (see [18]):

$n=n_1+\cdots+n_s$	$F=F(n_1,\ldots,n_s)$	$\dim F$	codimension of immersion (LAM)	obstruction to immersion ($\overline{w}_i\neq 0$)
3	$F(1,2)$	2	1	$\overline{w}_1$
4	$F(1,1,2)$	5	1	$\overline{w}_1$
4	$F(2,2)$	4	2	$\overline{w}_2$
4	$F(1,3)$	3	3	$\overline{w}_i=0$, $\forall i=1,\ldots,3$
5	$F(1,1,1,2)$	9	1	$\overline{w}_1$
5	$F(1,2,2)$	8	2	$\overline{w}_2$
5	$F(1,1,3)$	7	3	$\overline{w}_3$
5	$F(2,3)$	6	4	$\overline{w}_4$
5	$F(1,4)$	4	6	$\overline{w}_3$
6	$F(1,1,1,1,2)$	14	1	$\overline{w}_1$
6	$F(1,1,2,2)$	13	2	$\overline{w}_2$
6	$F(2,2,2)$	12	3	$\overline{w}_3$
6	$F(1,1,1,3)$	12	3	$\overline{w}_3$
6	$F(1,2,3)$	11	4	$\overline{w}_4$
6	$F(3,3)$	9	6	$\overline{w}_6$
6	$F(1,1,4)$	9	6	$\overline{w}_5$
6	$F(2,4)$	8	7	$\overline{w}_6$
6	$F(1,5)$	5	10	$\overline{w}_2$
7	$F(1,1,1,1,1,2)$	20	1	$\overline{w}_1$
7	$F(1,1,1,2,2)$	19	2	$\overline{w}_2$
7	$F(1,2,2,2)$	18	3	$\overline{w}_3$
7	$F(1,1,1,1,3)$	18	3	$\overline{w}_3$
7	$F(1,1,2,3)$	17	4	$\overline{w}_4$
7	$F(2,2,3)$	16	5	$\overline{w}_5$
7	$F(1,3,3)$	15	6	$\overline{w}_6$
7	$F(1,1,1,4)$	15	6	$\overline{w}_6$
7	$F(1,2,4)$	14	7	$\overline{w}_7$
7	$F(3,4)$	12	9	$\overline{w}_9$
7	$F(1,1,5)$	11	10	$\overline{w}_3$
7	$F(2,5)$	10	11	$\overline{w}_4$
7	$F(1,6)$	6	15	$\overline{w}_1$
8	$F(1,1,1,1,1,1,2)$	27	1	$\overline{w}_1$
8	$F(1,1,1,1,2,2)$	26	2	$\overline{w}_2$

$n = n_1 + \cdots + n_s$	$F = F(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$	dim F	codimension of immersion (LAM)	obstruction to imersion ($\overline{w}_i \neq 0$)
8	$F(1,1,2,2,2)$	25	3	$\overline{w}_3$
8	$F(1,1,1,1,1,3)$	25	3	$\overline{w}_3$
8	$F(2,2,2,2)$	24	4	$\overline{w}_4$
8	$F(1,1,1,2,3)$	24	4	$\overline{w}_4$
8	$F(1,2,2,3)$	23	5	$\overline{w}_5$
8	$F(1,1,3,3)$	22	6	$\overline{w}_6$
8	$F(1,1,1,1,4)$	22	6	$\overline{w}_6$
8	$F(2,3,3)$	21	7	$\overline{w}_7$
8	$F(1,1,2,4)$	21	7	$\overline{w}_7$
8	$F(2,2,4)$	20	8	$\overline{w}_8$
8	$F(1,3,4)$	19	9	$\overline{w}_9$
8	$F(1,1,1,5)$	18	10	$\overline{w}_3$
8	$F(1,2,5)$	17	11	$\overline{w}_4$
8	$F(4,4)$	16	12	$\overline{w}_{12}$
8	$F(3,5)$	15	13	$\star$
8	$F(1,1,6)$	13	15	$\star$
8	$F(2,6)$	12	16	$\star$
8	$F(1,7)$	7	21	$\overline{w}_i = 0$, $\forall i = 1, \ldots, 21$
9	$F(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,2)$	35	1	$\overline{w}_1$
9	$F(1,1,1,1,1,2,2)$	34	2	$\overline{w}_2$
9	$F(1,1,1,2,2,2)$	33	3	$\overline{w}_3$
9	$F(1,1,1,1,1,1,3)$	33	3	$\overline{w}_3$
9	$F(1,2,2,2,2)$	32	4	$\overline{w}_4$
9	$F(1,1,1,1,2,3)$	32	4	$\overline{w}_4$
9	$F(1,1,2,2,3)$	31	5	$\overline{w}_5$
9	$F(2,2,2,3)$	30	6	$\overline{w}_6$
9	$F(1,1,1,3,3)$	30	6	$\overline{w}_6$
9	$F(1,1,1,1,1,4)$	30	6	$\overline{w}_6$
9	$F(1,2,3,3)$	29	7	$\overline{w}_7$
9	$F(1,1,1,2,4)$	29	7	$\overline{w}_7$
9	$F(1,2,2,4)$	28	8	$\overline{w}_8$
9	$F(3,3,3)$	27	9	$\overline{w}_9$

$n = n_1 + \cdots + n_s$	$F = F(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$	dim F	codimension of immersion (LAM)	obstruction to imersion ($\overline{w}_i \neq 0$)
9	$F(1,1,3,4)$	27	9	$\overline{w}_9$
9	$F(2,3,4)$	26	10	$\overline{w}_{10}$
9	$F(1,1,1,1,5)$	26	10	$\overline{w}_{10}$
9	$F(1,1,2,5)$	25	11	$\overline{w}_{11}$
9	$F(1,4,4)$	24	12	$\overline{w}_{12}$
9	$F(2,2,5)$	24	12	$\overline{w}_{12}$
9	$F(1,3,5)$	23	13	$\overline{w}_{13}$
9	$F(1,1,1,6)$	21	15	$\star$
9	$F(4,5)$	20	16	$\overline{w}_{16}$
9	$F(1,2,6)$	20	16	$\star$
9	$F(3,6)$	18	18	$\star$
9	$F(1,1,7)$	15	21	$\star$
9	$F(2,7)$	14	22	$\star$
9	$F(1,8)$	8	28	$\overline{w}_7 \neq 0$
10	$F(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,2)$	44	1	$\overline{w}_1$
10	$F(1,1,1,1,1,1,2,2)$	43	2	$\overline{w}_2$
10	$F(1,1,1,1,2,2,2)$	42	3	$\overline{w}_3$
10	$F(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,3)$	42	3	$\overline{w}_3$
10	$F(1,1,2,2,2,2)$	41	4	$\overline{w}_4$
10	$F(1,1,1,1,1,2,3)$	41	4	$\overline{w}_4$
10	$F(2,2,2,2,2)$	40	5	$\overline{w}_5$
10	$F(1,1,1,2,2,3)$	40	5	$\overline{w}_5$
10	$F(1,2,2,2,3)$	39	6	$\overline{w}_6$
10	$F(1,1,1,1,3,3)$	39	6	$\overline{w}_6$
10	$F(1,1,1,1,1,1,4)$	39	6	$\overline{w}_6$
10	$F(1,1,2,3,3)$	38	7	$\overline{w}_7$
10	$F(1,1,1,1,2,4)$	38	7	$\overline{w}_7$
10	$F(2,2,3,3)$	37	8	$\overline{w}_8$
10	$F(1,1,2,2,4)$	37	8	$\overline{w}_8$
10	$F(1,3,3,3)$	36	9	$\overline{w}_9$
10	$F(2,2,2,4)$	36	9	$\overline{w}_9$
10	$F(1,1,1,3,4)$	36	9	$\overline{w}_9$
10	$F(1,2,3,4)$	35	10	$\overline{w}_{10}$

$n = n_1 + \cdots + n_s$	$F = F(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$	dim F	codimension of immersion (LAM)	obstruction to immersion ($\overline{w}_i \neq 0$)
10	$F(1,1,1,1,1,5)$	35	10	$\overline{w}_{10}$
10	$F(1,1,1,2,5)$	34	11	$\overline{w}_{11}$
10	$F(3,3,4)$	33	12	$\overline{w}_{12}$
10	$F(1,1,4,4)$	33	12	$\overline{w}_{12}$
10	$F(1,2,2,5)$	33	12	$\overline{w}_{12}$
10	$F(2,4,4)$	32	13	$\overline{w}_{13}$
10	$F(1,1,3,5)$	32	13	$\overline{w}_{13}$
10	$F(2,3,5)$	31	14	$\overline{w}_{14}$
10	$F(1,1,1,1,6)$	30	15	$\star$
10	$F(1,4,5)$	29	16	$\overline{w}_{16}$
10	$F(1,1,2,6)$	29	16	$\star$
10	$F(2,2,6)$	28	17	$\star$
10	$F(1,3,6)$	27	18	$\star$
10	$F(5,5)$	25	20	$\overline{w}_{20}$
10	$F(4,6)$	24	21	$\star$
10	$F(1,1,1,7)$	24	21	$\star$
10	$F(1,2,7)$	23	22	$\star$
10	$F(3,7)$	21	24	$\star$
10	$F(1,1,8)$	17	28	$\star$
10	$F(2,8)$	16	29	$\star$
10	$F(1,9)$	9	36	$\overline{w}_6$

Since $F(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$ is diffeomorphic to $F(n_{i_1}, \ldots, n_{i_s})$ where $\{i_1, \ldots, i_s\} = \{1, \ldots, s\}$ we assume, without loss of generality, that $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \cdots \leq n_s$.

The $\star$ on the last column of the table indicates that the software Maple and the Gröbner package were unable, in their current scope, to check if all the Stiefel- Whitney classes $\overline{w}_k = w_k(\eta(F))$ are zero, or nonzero. In such cases, we obtained:

For $n = 8$:

— $F(3,5)$: $\overline{w}_1 = \overline{w}_2 = \overline{w}_3 = 0$, $\overline{w}_4 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_5 = 0$, $\overline{w}_{12} = \overline{w}_{13} = 0$;

— $F(1,1,6)$: $\overline{w}_1 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_2 = \overline{w}_3 = \overline{w}_4 = 0$, $\overline{w}_{11} = \cdots = \overline{w}_{15} = 0$;

— $F(2,6)$: $\overline{w}_1 = 0$, $\overline{w}_2 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_3 = \overline{w}_4 = 0$, $\overline{w}_{11} = \cdots = \overline{w}_{16} = 0$.

For $n = 9$:

— $F(1,1,1,6)$: $\overline{w}_1 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_2 = \overline{w}_3 = 0$, $\overline{w}_4 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_{15} = 0$;

— $F(1,2,6)$: $\overline{w}_1 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_2 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_3 = 0$, $\overline{w}_4 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_{16} = 0$;

— $F(3,6)$: $\overline{w}_1 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_2 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_3 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_4 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_{17} = \overline{w}_{18} = 0$;

— $F(1,1,7)$: $\overline{w}_1 = 0$, $\overline{w}_2 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_3 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_{12} = \cdots = \overline{w}_{21} = 0$;

— $F(2,7)$: $\overline{w}_1 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_2 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_3 = 0$, $\overline{w}_{12} = \cdots = \overline{w}_{22} = 0$.

And for $n = 10$:

— $F(1,1,1,1,6)$: $\overline{w}_1 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_2 = \overline{w}_3 = 0$, $\overline{w}_4 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_{15} = 0$;

— $F(1,1,2,6)$: $\overline{w}_1 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_2 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_3 = 0$, $\overline{w}_4 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_{16} = 0$;

— $F(2,2,6)$: $\overline{w}_1 = 0$, $\overline{w}_2 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_3 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_4 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_{17} = 0$;

— $F(1,3,6)$: $\overline{w}_1 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_2 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_3 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_4 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_{18} = 0$;

— $F(4,6)$: $\overline{w}_1 = \cdots = \overline{w}_3 = 0$, $\overline{w}_{21} = 0$;

— $F(1,1,1,7)$: $\overline{w}_1 = 0$, $\overline{w}_2 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_3 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_{21} = 0$;

— $F(1,2,7)$: $\overline{w}_1 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_2 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_3 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_{22} = 0$;

— $F(3,7)$: $\overline{w}_1 = 0$, $\overline{w}_2 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_3 = 0$, $\overline{w}_{19} = \cdots = \overline{w}_{24} = 0$;

— $F(1,1,8)$: $\overline{w}_1 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_2 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_3 \neq 0$, $\overline{w}_{16} = \cdots = \overline{w}_{29} = 0$;

— $F(2,8)$: $\overline{w}_1 = \cdots = \overline{w}_3 = 0$, $\overline{w}_{29} = 0$.

In the process we employed a Pentium 133 MHz processor (32 MB RAM).
In [22], Stong proved:

Theorem 5.1 *If $w = \{n_1, \ldots, n_s\}$ can be partitioned as $w = w_1 \cup w_2 \cup w_3$ where $w_1, w_2 \neq \phi$, and*

1) $$\left| \sum_{n\in w_1} n - \sum_{n\in w_2} n \right| \leq 1$$

and

2) $$m \in w_3 \Rightarrow m \leq \sum_{n\in w_1} n + \sum_{n\in w_2} n + 1$$

then Lam's result is best possible for $F(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$.

Remark 5.2 Using the same argument we can clearly extend this last Theorem. So, we stated it as follows:

If $w = \{n_1, \ldots, n_s\}$ can be partitioned as $w = w_1 \cup w_2 \cup \ldots \cup w_r$ where $w_1, w_2 \neq \phi$, and

1) $$\left| \sum_{n\in w_1} n - \sum_{n\in w_2} n \right| \leq 1$$

and

2) *for* $i \geq 3$, $$m \in w_i \Rightarrow m \leq \sum_{n\in w_1} n + \ldots + \sum_{n\in w_{i-1}} n + 1$$

then Lam's result is best possible for $F(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$.

We shall call the partition of n that satisfies conditons 1 and 2 above as *Stong partition.*

Observing the table with $n \leq 10$, we put:

Conjecture 5.3 *If the h^{th} Stiefel-Whitney class of a normal bundle of F, $w_h(\eta(F)) = \overline{w}_h$, is different from zero where $h = dimH$, then the partition $\{n_1, \ldots, n_s\}$ of n is a Stong partition.*

References

[1] ADAMS, W.W.; LOUSTAUNAU, P. *An introduction to Gröbner bases.* Providence, A.M.S., 1994. (Graduate Studies in Mathematics v.3).

[2] BARTIK, V.; KORBÅS, J. Stiefel-Whitney characteristic classes and parallelizability of Grassmann manifolds. *Sup. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*-ser. II, n. 6, p. 19-29. 1984.

[3] BOREL, A. La cohomologie mod 2 de certains espaces homogènes. *Comment. Math. Helvetici*, v. 27, p. 165-97, 1953.

[4] BOREL, A. Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibres principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compact. *Ann. Math.*, n. 57, p. 11-207, 1953.

[5] BOREL, A.; HIRZEBRUCH, F. Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces, I. *Amer. J. Math.*, v. 80, p. 458-535, 1958.

[6] CONDE, A. *B-genus and non-embeddings.* Chicago, 1971. 240 p. PhD thesis, University of Chicago.

[7] CONDE, A. *Sobre as classes de Atiyah-Hirzebruch, de Thom, o problema do mergulho e variedades flâmulas.* São Carlos, 1979. 72 p. Tese (Livre-Docência)-Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas, Universidade de São Paulo.

[8] COX, D.; LITTLE, J.; O'SHEA, D. *Ideals, varieties and algorithms.* New York, Springer, 1992. (Undergraduate Text Mathematics)

[9] GITLER, S. Immersion and embedding of manifolds. *Amer. Math. Soc.*, p. 87-96, 1971.

[10] HILLER, H. Immersing homogeneous spaces in Euclidean space. *Pub. Mat. UAB*, v. 26, n. 3, p. 43-5, 1982.

[11] HILLER, H.; STONG, R.E. Immersion dimension for real grassmannians. *Math. Ann.*, v. 255, p. 361-7, 1981.

[12] HIRSCH, M.W. Immersions of manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 93, p. 242-76, 1959.

[13] HUSEMOLLER, D. *Fibre bundles.* New York, Mc Graw-Hill, 1966.

[14] KORBĂS, J. Vector fields on real flag manifolds. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, v. 3, n. 2, p. 173-84, 1985.

[15] KORBĂS, J. Note on Stiefel-Whitney classes of flag manifolds. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*-ser. II, n.16, p. 109-11, 1987. supplement.

[16] LAM, K.Y. A formula for the tangent bundle of flag manifolds and related manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 213, p. 305-14, 1975.

[17] LANG, S. *Linear Algebra.* 3 ed. New York, Springer, 1987.

[18] MENDES, M.P. An algebraic problem and the software Maple. In preparation.

[19] MILNOR, J.W.; STASHEFF, J.D. *Characteristic classes.* Princeton, Princeton Univ., 1974.

[20] SANKARAN, P.; ZVENGROWSKI, P. On stable parallelizability of flag manifolds. *Pac. J. Math.*, v. 122, n. 2, p. 455-8, 1986.

[21] STEENROD, N. *The topology of fibre bundles.* Princeton, Princeton Univ., 1951.

[22] STONG, R.E. Immersions of real flag manifolds. *Proc. A.M.S.*, v. 88, n. 4, p. 708-10, 1983.

[23] WHITEHEAD, G.W. *Elements of homotopy theory.* New York, Springer, 1978. (GTM, 61).

[24] ZVENGROWSKI, P. Recent work in the parallelizability of flag manifolds. *Contemporary Math.*, v. 58, part II, p. 129-37.1987.

Universidade de São Paulo
Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação
Departamento de Matemática
Caixa Postal 668
13560-970 São Carlos SP
Brazil

E-mail: conde@icmc.sc.usp.br
mpmendes@icmc.sc.usp.br

NOTAS DO ICMC

SÉRIE MATEMÁTICA

067/98 MOCHIDA, D.K.H.; ROMERO-FUSTER, M.C.; RUAS, M.A.S. – Osculating hyperplanes and asymptotic directions of condimension two submanifolds of euclidean spaces.

066/98 CARVALHO, A.N.; PRIMO, M.R.T. – Boundary synchronization in parabolic problems with nonlinear boundary conditions.

065/98 BRUCE, J.W.; FLETCHER, G.J.; TARI, F. – Bifurcations of binary differential equations.

064/98 BRUSCHI, S.; CARVALHO, A. N.; RUAS-FILHO, J.G. – The dynamics of a one-dimensional scalar parabolic problem versus the dynamics of its discretization.

063/98 LEIVA, H. - A new sufficient algebraic condition for the controllability and observability of linear time varying systems.

062/98 ARRIETA, J.M.; CARVALHO, A.N.; RODRÍGUEZ-BERNAL, A. - Attractors of parabolic problems with nonlinear boundary conditions. Uniform bounds.

061/98 MANOEL, M.; BARBOSA, J.C. - A mathematical model for the spectrum of a two-dimensional schrödinger equation with magnetic field under dirichelet boundary conditions.

060/98 OLIVEIRA, R.D.S.; TARI, F. - On pairs of differential 1-forms in the plane.

059/97 ARRIETA, J.M.; CARVALHO, A.N.; RODRIGUEZ-BERNAL, A. - Parabolic problems with nonlinear boundary condition and critical nonlinearities.

058/97 BIASI, C.; GONÇALVES, D.L.; LIBARDI, A.K.M. - Metastable immersion with the normal bordism approach.